

DALIT VOICE

LIBRARY
INDIAN SOCIAL INSTITUTE
24, Benson Road
BANGALORE-560 041

The Voice of the Persecuted Nationalities Denied Human Rights

Vol. 29

Bangalore

November 16 - 30, 2010

No.22

HOW STRUGGLE FOR SOCIAL JUSTICE BE HATE-MONGERING ?

IS IT POSSIBLE TO FIGHT THE ENEMY WITHOUT
IDENTIFYING THE ENEMY ?

**Exposing Hindu
racism is DV's
greatest job**

**Handicapped
India can't
defeat China**

Too much confusions on who wrote Vedas :

THUS SPAKE AMBEDKAR

Thus Spake Ambedkar

Since the competent maker of the Veda possesses authority, inculcates truth, it results from the force of the terms that the Veda was uttered by a person of this character; and by this reasoning the authority of the Veda is to be inferred. He illustrates this by the case of the formulas and the Ayur-Veda. By formulas (*mantra*) are meant the sentences which neutralize poison etc., and the section containing the Ayur-Veda forms part of the Veda. Now as the authority of these two classes of writings is admitted by general consent, the authority of everything which possess the characteristics of the Veda must be inferred from this example. Some, however, explain the aphorism thus; a Veda is that in which authority is found or recognized. From such *Vedicity* (or possession of the character of a Veda) the authority of any work is to be inferred."

The Vaishashika system admits that the Vedas are authoritative. But the grounds on which it rests its conclusion are:

- (1) That the Vedas are the product of an intelligent mind and (2) That they have been uttered by God. Therefore they are authoritative.

The Sankhya system founded by Kapila held the view that eternity cannot be predicated of the Vedas, since various texts in the Vedas themselves declare them to have been produced. It expressly denies that the Vedas originated from the conscious effort of any divine being. According to the Sankhya, the Vedas like the Sun shine by their own light, and evince an inherent power both of revealing their own perfection and of elucidating all other things, past and future, great and small, near and remote. The system of philosophy known as the Vedanta seems to support two distant views. It ascribes the origin of the Vedas to Brahma as its source or cause of source using the term Brahma as neuter denoting the supreme spirit and not as masculine designating the personal creator. It also speaks of the eternity of the Vedas and makes mention of a self-dependent author.

The Brahmins did not remain content with the argument that the Vedas were not made by man.

Too much confusions on who wrote Vedas

They went much further and contended that the Vedas were not made even by God. This theory is propounded by Jaimini, the author of the *Purva Mimansa*. Jaimini's arguments in favour of the thesis are so strange that one has to know them in order to realize their strangeness.

It is in the *Purva Mimansa* — a book of Brahmanic philosophy — that this doctrine of Vedas being *apaurusheya* is propounded. The following extracts from the book will reveal the nature of the argument.

Jaimini, the author of the *Purva Mimansa*, first deals with the argument of the Naiyayikas who assert that the Vedas are made by Parameshwara and states the case made out by the Naiyayikas.

The argument of the Mimansakas is:

"The Veda could not have been uttered by the incorporeal Paramesvara (God), who has no palate or other organs of speech, and therefore cannot be conceived to have pronounced the letters (of which it is composed). This objection (answers the Naiyayika) is not happy, because, though Paramesvara is by nature incorporeal, he can yet, by way of sport assume a body, in order to show kindness to his devoted worshippers. Consequently, the arguments in favour of the doctrine that the Veda had no personal author are inconclusive".

He then proceeds to state his arguments in favour of the doctrine of the Mimansakas —

"I shall now clear up all these difficulties. What is meant by this *paurusheyatva* (derivation from a personal author) which it is sought to prove? Is it (1) mere procession (*utpannatva*) from a person (*purusha*) like the procession of the Veda from persons such as ourselves, when we daily utter it? or (2) is it the arrangement — with a view to its manifestation — of knowledge acquired by other modes of proof, in the sense in which persons like ourselves compose a treatise? If the first meaning be intended there will be no dispute.

(To be continued)

Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Writings & Speeches, Vol.4, *Riddles in Hinduism* (p.31-32) 1987, Rs. 35.
Maharashtra Govt., Manager, Govt. Central Book Depot, Near Charni Road
Railway Station, Netaji Subhash Road, Bombay - 400 004.

How can struggle for social justice be dubbed hate-mongering ?

CONTENTS

- ◆ *Struggle for social justice is not hate-mongering*
- ◆ *How can we fight the enemy without identifying it ?*
- ◆ **"Closing down DV" Debate**
 - ◆ *Many confusions & contradictions in Indian thoughts*
 - ◆ *OBC leader's tributes to DV*
 - ◆ *OBC's not interested in DV*
 - ◆ *DV's greatest achievement*
- ◆ *VTR's thoughts from class to caste struggle*

Thus Spake Periyar : Havoc of Brahmins

- ◆ *Handicapped India can't defeat China*
- ◆ *Who killed Dalit movement ?*
- ◆ *Marathas & Kshatriyahood*
- ◆ *Shivaji suffered under Brahmins*
- ◆ *Sikhs & Brahmins*

Editor &
Publisher : V.T. RAJSHEKAR

Representatives

Hyderabad : Dr. D.Yadaiah
Bangalore : Iqbal Ahmed Shariff
Kottayam : Raju Thomas
Delhi : Dr. (Mrs.) K.K. Sidhu
HP & Punjab : Subhash C. Musafir
Ahmedabad : Rameshchandra Parmar
London : Brig. Usman Khalid

Honest intellectuals have been fast disappearing like rare birds in the corrupt society created by the Brahminical rulers. Dr. M.M. Kothari is one such rare soul which withstood the poisonous gas sweeping the "Hindu India". That is why his very long article is published (**see p. 7**) in full despite his criticisms which are well taken. (*India's Intellectual Desert*, DSA-1999, Rs. 50).

How carefully he has been studying (not mere reading) DV for decades is evident from the quotations he presents. Perhaps he is the only one in our DV family so carefully and critically examining our every writings — despite his upper caste background.

Honest surgeon: Having said this we have to admit that Brother Kothari is only an academic, an ivory tower professor — yet a very honest surgeon of ideas.

But DV has to sail in this turbulent waters of chaotic cosmos created by the Brahminical tyranny, in the midst of "million mutinees" raging in the country. "Bahujan Samaj" is only an ideal — but torn to pieces by the Brahminic *toofan*.

"Is it possible to fight the enemy without identifying the enemy?"

(See p.5)

The entire lot of SC/ST/BCs (65%) and Muslim/Christian/Sikhs (20%) are the original inhabitants of India — made mince meat and arranged into different castes of ascending order of reverence and descending degree of contempt. We the Bahujans are made to hate our own brothers more than our principal Brahminical oppressor who is in hiding. Brother Kothari must re-read Babasaheb's *Annihilation of Caste* (DSA-1987) with our foreword.

Dr. Ambedkar movement sabotaged: Even when Babasaheb tried to take the Dalits into Budhism, he was not allowed by the Enemy. A Brahmin himself, who was the main Budhist high priest at the historical conversion at Nagpur, sabotaged the whole plan. Brahmins later brought a Marwari Bania to further confuse the Dalit-Budhists through meditation (*Vipassana*). So much so Dr. Ambedkar's entire conversion movement got sabotaged.

Who controls our brain: Brother Kothari refers to the confusion and chaos galore in the Budhist thoughts. Yes. And also the Muslim, Christian, criticism of the Ambedkarite approach. He is right. But he must know who is behind

influencing every thinking and action of Muslim, Christian, Sikh — and all of us.

It is the Brahminist who controls the brain of all of us through our education system and the mass media.

If today India is sinking from the Third World to the Fourth, it is because of the Brahminical thoughts that enslaved us.

The entire non-Brahmin lot (including the Banias) have kept their brains in the Brahmin fridge. He thinks and we bark. And then fight on their behalf. The *vaidik* simply stands and enjoys the fun.

We have elaborated this process in our book, *Brahminism* (2002), *Counter Revolution* (2004) and *Know the Hindu Mind* (2008).

Vaishya invitation to Editor: We will give you one shocking story in this connection. The All-India Vaishya Mahasabha authorities approached us to address their session in Haryana some years back. When asked how our enemy oppressors are inviting us, they said: "As the author of the book, *Why Godse Killed Gandhi?* (DSA-1997) you are the only one in India who had the courage to analyse why the Brahmin Godse killed the Gujarati Bania Gandhi".

Banias feed terrorist parties: But we declined the invitation. The point to be noted here is the very Banias, a powerful community which feeds the Brahminical terrorist party of RSS and *Abhinav Bharat*, hate the Brahminists. This is a well kept secret.

We are revealing this secret to impress that even within the three *dwija* communities, one hates the other. R.M. Lohia, a Marwadi Bania, was hated and called a buffoon by the *Manuwadi* monsters.

Parasurama slaughtered Kshatriyas: The Kshatriyas (today called Thakur) are all slaughtered by the Brahmin Parasurama. Prime Minister V.P. Singh, a Thakur, was toppled by the saffron Brahmins.

Philosophy of hatred: It is hate from top to bottom. When there is so much of blood-boiling venom flowing among the twice-born tomfools, you can imagine the hatred, confusion, chaos and stupidity among the unthinking SC/ST/BCs and even among the "religious minorities".

The learned professor asks us "not to hate" Brahmins. Every upper caste reader of DV (both

print and internet) gives the same advice.

Law of contradictions: Dr. Kothari's "advice" involves a great principle — the role of hatred — so elaborately dealt by Mao Tse-tung in his book, *Law of Contradictions* (write to DV for photocopy, Rs. 50), without which no person involved in a revolutionary movement can expect a success.

The four "advices" of Dr. Kothari are great (**see p. 11**) but how can the oppressed Bahujans succeed in the four tasks unless they know who is denying them the (1) light, (2) self-confidence, (3) education and (4) livelihood?

Life is full of contradictions. The oppressed have many enemies. The Brahmins mislead Dalits saying they (Dalits) are oppressed by the OBCs. The Jats of Rajasthan are told that Meenas (Dalits) are their enemy. The "Hindus" are told the Muslims are the enemy. Hundreds of enemies are manufactured to confuse the oppressed. So it is the duty of the people leading a revolutionary movement to correctly "**identify**" the enemy.

Dr. Ambedkar has elaborately dealt with this subject while dealing with the "role of hatred". You cannot be sincere in your **love** for the oppressed unless you are equally sincere in your "hatred" of the enemy oppressor. Babasaheb says only those who intensely hate the enemy (after scientifically identifying the enemy) is capable of loving his people. Otherwise he will be a humbug like Gandhi.

Daily hate mails: Why do we get at least 4-5 hate mails daily, even threatening to kill us, from the Brahminists? Because the Brahmins so intensely **love** their *jati*.

Only a person who intensely LOVES his people is capable of intensely HATING the people whom he considers as the enemy. This is the law of nature.

To sum up: hate is more important than love.

Gandhi loved the upper castes that is why he duped the Dalits.

Dr. Ambedkar intensely loved his people, Dalits, and that is why he mercilessly exposed the Gujarati Bania.

Weighing machine mischief: Jews loved their own people whom Jesus, the Jew, betrayed. That is why they killed Jesus and did everything to destroy Christianity.

Traders are noted for mischief with their weighing machine to cheat the customers. Unless one side

of the weighing machine goes down by putting more weight on it, the two scales do not become equal. The haves have to lose weight so that the havenots gain the weight and both become equal.

The rich and the privileged upper castes must be cut to size so that (weighing scale) the other side gains the weight and both become equal.

That is the meaning social justice. How can a struggle for social justice be called hate-mongering?

The four "positive tasks" which Dr. Kothari recommends must be addressed to those who denied social justice to the over 85% of the have-not Bahujans. Simple.

From the beginning of the "Aryan invasion", India had only one enemy oppressor. All the greatest seers and savants, whose names appear in history, have named this Enemy.

Who killed the Kshatriyas: Dr. Ambedkar, the "Father of India", has written thousands of pages

Is it possible to fight the enemy without identifying the enemy?

OUR CORRESPONDENT

Bangalore: We want to make it clear that no where in India the Bahujans are oppressing our centuries-old enemy. To this day our enemy-oppressor has not made even single complaint that we are oppressing them. It is the other way.

The Brahminists (15%) belonging to the upper castes are our enemy-oppressors is a well-known historical fact. They control the govt., legislature, media, judiciary, industry and commerce, plus the public opinion. More than that they also control the gods we are forced to worship. Ever since the fall of Buddhism, which they killed, they have been our rulers and oppressors. All history books have said it. All our saints, savants and philosophers have also said it. None has disputed this fact.

What DV did: DV is only **repeating** this fact — so well known. The fact is we the Bahujans are daily kicked, killed, burnt, raped and our little property destroyed, our self-respect, human rights denied by this Brahminist enemy. That is what we have been writing in DV and our books.

We repeat: We have been writing **only** this fact in DV and our books and saying in our speeches. Nothing more.

In doing so, we have been only repeating the

historical truth pronounced by every saint, seer, philosopher right from the Budha for centuries.

How can pointing out who is our enemy-oppressor can be called provocative and instigating violence?

Don't identify enemy: What our "well-wishers" and even the enemy-oppressor say is: "You can go on criticising the enemy-oppressor without naming it. Don't **identify** the enemy". When the Brahminists have identified their enemy, namely SC/ST/BCs and Muslim/Christian/Sikhs, why should we also not identify our enemy?

Their advice is: "You can say that the ruling class is persecuting the SC/ST/BCs and Muslim/Christian/Sikhs. But don't **identify** the enemy. Don't **name** the Brahminist".

The ruling Brahminists like (even love) the maoists, naxalites because they don't **identify** the enemy.

A patient goes to the doctor so that he gets the disease diagnosed and cured. The doctor does it and then prescribes the right medicine. The disease is cured. The problem solved.

That is all DV is doing. The DV diagnosis has cured the Bahujan disease.

on this one and the only enemy of India. It is this enemy, Parasuram, who hunted and killed all the Kshatriyas in the "world". What did the Charvakas say?

Guru Ravidas has devoted hundreds of verses to name this enemy which finally killed him. So too Mirabai. The *Guru Granth* has identified this enemy. Swami Vivekananda who made Hinduism

world famous has warned the country against this enemy (*Caste, Culture & Socialism*, 1988, Advaita Ashrama, Calcutta, photocopy available with DV. Rs. 50). Sri Narayana Guru was beaten up and his temples for Shiva destroyed by this very enemy. Shivaji, in spite of giving half his empire to the *Bhoo devatas*, was ridiculed and finally died a miserable death because of this enemy. Shahu Maharaj, such a great and benevolent ruler, was made a monkey by this enemy.

Who killed Dr. Ambedkar: Swami Dharma Thirtha, an upper caste Nair from Kerala (*History of Hindu Imperialism*), cites hundreds of cases of crimes of this enemy. So too Mahatma Ayyankali of Kerala.

Poet-Philosopher Allama Iqbal, himself a Kashmiri Brahmin, had warned his fellow Muslims that the only way to escape from the tyranny of this enemy was Pakistan.

Dr. Ambedkar was the one and the only one who had given the clearest picture of this enemy and wanted to rescue the country from its clutches. That is how he got the title of "Father of India". He honestly believed his Brahmin lady doctor, and married her who alone finally killed him, according to all his biographers. (DV Nov.16, 2009, p.20: "Who killed the Prophet of New India..?").

Who killed Gandhi: Finally, it was the Gujarati Bania, who did so much to hand over this country to the enemy, felled by the bullet of this very enemy which celebrated his death by distributing sweets all over India.

Finally, the Tamil Chettiar Chidambaram, Union Home Minister, identified the same "saffron" enemy.

Dr. Kothari says criticising Brahmins is not a "positive approach". That means all the above cited historical figures, philosophers, saints, scholars, who identified the enemy-oppressor, had no "positive approach".

We have cited all the saints and savants who have **identified** and **named** the enemy-oppressor. But our philosopher-professor tells us not to name the enemy, not to hate the enemy. What type of a logic is this?

The famous European missionary, Abbe J.A. Dubois, who stayed in India for 31 years and mastered Sanskrit, in his famous book, *Hindu*

Manners, Customs & Ceremonies (first published 1906, Rupa & Co., New Delhi - 110 002), has identified the enemy better than anybody, says:

*Devadhinam jagat sarvam
Mantradhinam ta devata,
Tan Mantram Brahmanadhinam
Brahmana mama devata.*

It means: The universe is under the power of the gods; the gods are under the power of the *mantras*, the *mantras* are under the power of the Brahmins; therefore the Brahmins are our gods.

DV following Babasaheb: The above elaborate reply meets the criticism of not merely Dr. Kothari's but also of some other DV members. All of them must note that the same criticism was made against Dr. Ambedkar also. All the writings and speeches of Dr. Ambedkar **identified** the one and the only oppressor and asked us to unite and fight the same **enemy**.

DV is doing the same and following the great example set by the "Father of India". There is no other road towards our liberation.

Wrong advice: What surprised us is Dr. Kothari criticising us for writing on issues vitally affecting India — like China, Palestine, Zionists, Iran etc. Right now only three political parties — all Brahminical — the Congress, BJP and Communists, are monopolising foreign affairs. All Bahujan parties take no interest in these subjects. Bahujan parties control UP, Bihar, Punjab, TN etc.

Foreign affairs seriously affect internal affairs. Muslims who form 20% of India and Christians 2.5% are keenly interested in this.

But the Brahminists have brainwashed the Bahujans to leave this vital subject to them so that the enemy manipulates things to its advantage. How Dr. Kothari can defend such an action?

The subject of Jews is most important because they are the blood brothers and cousins of our only enemy oppressor. Today the two are in tight embrace with the Zionist Israeli spy master, Mossad, taking over India at the instance of the "Jews of India".

We are in touch with different persecuted sections in the whole world. The geo-political balance is shifting to Asia with China and Iran

taking a leading role. The world is heading towards the World War-III.

If our Bahujans remain ignorant of the fast-moving world developments, the happiest people will be our enemy-oppressor. And we will be the losers. If you say that Bahujan should not be

educated on foreign affairs, it amounts to saying that Brahminists must remain as rulers. And we as slaves.

DV as the single largest media of the Bahujans, it is our duty to kindle interest in our innocent but unthinking people. ■

Many confusions & contradictions in Indian thoughts

DID DV FALL VICTIM TO THE "MILLION MUTINEES" THAT IS INDIA?

DR. M.M. KOTHARI, RETD. PROFESOR, JODHPUR UNIVERSITY, 87 - AJIT COLONY, JODHPUR - 342 001

I came in contact with the learned Editor of *Dalit Voice* in early 1997 with my *Critique of Gandhi*. I was aware of the well-deserved criticism of Hinduism (Brahminism) by the heterodox systems of Indian philosophy, i.e. Charvaka, Jain and Budhist, more forcefully revived in a very subtle manner by Vivekananda, and later on by Dr. Ambedkar and now by the Editor, *Dalit Voice*.

D.V. HAS A MISSION

However, at times I conveyed my disapproval for the negative approach of DV on some issues and the need for rethinking on some matters which were carried under "*Some minus sides of DV*" (June 16, 2001). Later on there were several debates on the reasons for the failure of the Dalit movement in general and DV in particular. Now I sum up my views in brief as under.

(1) Agents of Banias: One main reason is the "financial crisis". It is very largely true. Newspapers and journals run by the agents of the Banias sell well. They can afford to sell even at loss. They have no need to depend upon advt. for money. Nor do such papers attract readers by "a gutter stuff" in the name of "entertainment" and affairs such as of Diana or Monica. Those who have a mission generally have this

disadvantage. DV belongs to this category.

(2) Brahmins as sinners: As regards the lack of a strong organisational base for Dalit movement, Dr. Ambedkar himself felt that he could not gather the required support not only from the Hindu reformers but also from the "educated people" of the Dalit class.

Brahmins were no doubt the original sinners being the guardians of Hindu society.

Dalits also for various reasons continued to enjoy slavery and "there were many traitors among us". The human material of the followers was not only poor economically but also poor in culture and character. As such Dr. Ambedkar could not create an organisation. The conversion of a small number of them to Budhism did not prove quite effective.

V.T.R AS GREAT INTELLECTUAL

VTR is a great intellectual. But for similar reasons he also could not create a powerful organisational support. He has been moaning in despair that

"the educated Dalits have failed the community. They have no sincerity, no dedication, no commitment. The *Dalit Voice* does not attract them... We have been talking to the wall". Brahmins, as well as others, love

their caste. But in the case of Dalits, "it is like a dog hating another dog..."

Dr. Ambedkar's words no longer inspire them. In a recent issue, the Editor admitted that "the Dalit movement is dead all over India", and that he has been running DV as a "one-man show".

(3) Contradictory opinions: As regards readers' dislike for the contents of DV, there are many and sometimes contradictory evaluations by friends and critics. A friend says: "DV failed to inspire people. You must know why people get tired of DV and stop reading it after some time". He holds that the Editor and DV are not sufficiently "revolutionary".

KADGADHARI BUDHA

He thinks that Dr. Ambedkar did a monumental blunder by not adopting marxism and revolutionary violence. He wishes that the Editor should like the *Khadadhari Budha* of the *Lotus Sutra*, engage in a killing spree on Brahmins in the streets of India. DV on the whole seems to endorse it but readers in general reject it as "immature".

(4) "Caste identity thesis: Casteist disease in India deserved total destruction and replacement by humanistic values popularised by democracy. Many reformers including our Editor came forward

with the "caste identity" theories. However, instead of annihilation of caste complex, they inadvertently miscarried the movement in reverse direction. The approach proved psychologically harmful. Some writers warned against the undesirable direction this issue had taken. However, some other writers posing themselves as "friends" of the Editor, showered abuses upon them. They were dubbed as "petty people", "street dogs", "false Ambedkarites" and so on. The so-called dogs felt themselves out of place and ceased to write for the DV. One of them advised the Editor to save himself from such friends. Later on Dr. Ashok Bhojar explained in detail how this negative approach has harmed the unity of the Dalits. But great harm has already been done to the DV (Oct.16, 1997).

MUSLIMS & DALITS AS BLOOD BROTHERS

(5) Conversion to Buddhism: Dr. Ambedkar rejected Hinduism. For about 20 years he studied and examined the theories and practices of the major religions for an alternate religion. Many non-Hindus (Christians, Muslims and Sikhs) invited him to join their respective religions. But he did not find them suitable substitutes. Finally he said:

"I regard Budha's *Dhamma* to be the best. No religion can be compared to it".

He prescribed that conversion to Buddhism was the best solution for the future of Dalits in India. But why not any other religion? Or, no religion like that of the Charvaka or Periyar? Dr. Kancha Ilaiah seems to be nearer the truth that Dr. Ambedkar wanted an Indian system of alternative to Hinduism. Dr. K. Jamanadas has discussed in detail the question as to why Dr.

Ambedkar did not accept Islam (DV Nov.16, 2008, p.23). By his criticism of Islam, Dr. Ambedkar annoyed the Muslims. VTR tried to repair this damage by arguing that Muslims and Dalits were blood brothers in many ways. He called for "Muslim-Christian polarisation" and asked the Christians to declare that they have nothing against Muslims and against Islam (DV Sept.16, 2006, p.3). He hoped that the Muslims and Christians inside as well as outside India will combine to fight Brahmins inside India and Judaism outside.

MUSLIMS WANT DALIT CONVERSION TO ISLAM

The Muslims were not impressed by mere verbal denunciation of Hinduism. They wished largescale conversion of Dalits to Islam. They did not like the half-way house. On the contrary they raised many unpleasant questions. One of them asked the Editor:

"one wonders why you have not embraced Islam so far? Why did you (or Dr. Ambedkar) prefer Buddhism to Islam?" (DV April 1, 1998).

A few other points may be noted:

SYED SHAHABUDDIN RETORT

The Muslims do not like the caste-debates of DV. Islam has no place for casteist thinking in any form. They regret that casteism has infiltrated even in some Muslim sections of India. The call for caste annihilation through caste consolidation is wrong. The Jamat frankly told the Editor that "you want to keep alive casteist thinking and that your aim is only that lower classes should become higher and higher should become lower (DV Oct.1, 1997 p.23). Muslims, therefore, cannot have any sympathy or support for casteism whether of Manu or Mandal.

Syed Shahabuddin wrote that "the Muslims are not prepared to serve as the palanquin bearers for the *sudra* or the SC/ST". He asked Kanchi Ram:

"Why should the Muslims offer themselves as fodder for his cannons?" (Aug.1, 1998, Feb.1, 1999).

BUDHISM & MUSLIMS

Brahminism in its worst form is purely an Indian disease. Whatever one may mean by the term "Hindu", all its darker sides are confined to India. As such it has no global significance. The DV crusade against Brahmins has no use for the outsiders.

Muslims had no reason to be happy with the conversion of Dalits to Buddhism. However, there are some other reasons which are of an irritating nature: Buddhism, like Jainism, is a religion without god and as such rejects the doctrines of one, supreme, omnipotent god of all god-centric religions of the world including Islam.

PIG AS HINDU GOD

Budha condemned the doctrine of animal sacrifices for the delight of god in Hinduism. This also applies to the Muslim doctrine of *kurbani*. Budha's teaching on this subject is, therefore, unislamic.

It is well-known that Budha commended pork-eating even for the monks. He himself remained a pork-eater till his end.

In this perhaps he carried over the legacy from the Hindus. In Hindu scriptures, pig has been given the honour of one of their divine incarnations. Further, as S.P. Singh once pointed out that the vast majority of the Dalits in India are pig-rearers and carrion-eaters. How can the Muslims treat the pork-eaters as their blood

brothers? (DV Sept.1, 2002 p.13). The virtue of being beef-eaters which is lauded by some DV writes is not enough to unite them.

The result is rejection of the Editor's repeated appeals to Muslims for unity.

JEW AS THE ONLY CHOSEN PEOPLE

(6) Jews & Christians: In an earlier article (June 16 2001), I quoted some eminent Muslim leaders who have complained that the Editor has not understood Islam. Most of the writers in DV have not properly read or understood the Bible (*Old Testament*) and the *Holy Quran*. The *Old Testament* is part of the Bible which is primarily a Jewish scripture. It repeatedly commands the Jews that they are "the only chosen people of god".

Jesus himself was a Jew and he was always proud of his Jewish inheritance. He commanded his disciples that they should not go to gentiles (non-Jews) and work only for the purification of the Jews because "salvation is only for the Jews". Christians treat Judaism as their mother. But Muslims have been repeatedly commanded by god not to accept the patronage of the Christians and the Jews.

JEW & CHRISTIANS AS BEST FRIENDS

As such the Muslims and the Christians never had any goodwill for each other. The Crusades (holy wars) carried their mutual conflict for centuries which in its worst forms lately erupted in Arab-Israeli war (1967).

The Jews and Christians who once hated each other are now best friends and Christians do not like the DV tirade against the Jews. The Editor has asked the Christians to

revolt against the "spineless leadership of the Catholic Pope and the Protestant World Council of Churches". (DV Sept.16, 2006 p.4). It is surprising that the Editor could believe that the Catholic Christians will disown the Pope. The abuses hurled by him on the Pope have only served to alienate the Christians and damage DV image with them for no good reason. Many Muslim intellectuals are worried about the pressures brought upon them and the attempts to incite them in wrong ways by DV articles.

SECTARIAN FANATICS

(7) Misuse of DV: Many of the writers in DV are sectarian fanatics whose main purpose is to impress upon the readers that the sect to which they belong is their genuine religion. They have indulged in denigration of rival sects. X is not a true Muslim, Y is not a true Buddhist and Z is not a true Sikh. The rivals are "wriggling worms", blind leading to the blind and both fall in the ditch.

But DV is not the right place to display their sectarian prejudices. The misuse of the hospitality extended to them by DV has not benefited the DV in any way. In fact, it has harmed DV and brought the charge of extending undue favours to some religious fanatics. I have made this brief reference to DV favours to some religious fanatics in the context of some undeserving debates on Buddhism which are of no interest to general readers even of DV.

(8) Validity of Buddhist scriptures: Buddhist literature presents several brands of Buddhism which reject the validity of each other. Within traditional Buddhism, *Hinayana* (inferior wheel) is generally

considered to be more faithful to original Buddhism and they argue that *Mahayana* (greater wheel) is not Budha's teaching. According to *Mahayana*, *Hinayana* is worse than hell.

CHAOS IN BUDHIST THOUGHTS

"*Abhidharma Pitak* is not Budha's word.. It is a positively misleading compilation".

Some critics accuse that *Jataka* tales were concocted.. they are not original or true, that Budha never talked of taking more than one birth.. At some places, Budha affirmed *Jatismrana*. "He remembered his thousands of births".

Vinaya Pitak allows the Bhikkus the use of fat of bears, fish, swine, ashes, goat, crow and cobra. But *Lankavatara Sutra* prohibits meat-eating (Suzuki, p.212 ff).

The *Lankavatara Sutra* is held in high esteem. But many others reject it.

The questions of King Milinda contains many profound questions of philosophy and Nagasena's replies in the name of Budha. But many Buddhists doubt its veracity attributed to Budha.

Nana Vira Thera, a modern Buddhist scholar, says that among Budha's sermons only *Vinaya Pitak* can be trusted. No other book whatsoever can be trusted. (*Clearing the Path*, p.153).

There is agreement on Budha's criticism of Vedic metaphysics and religion. but confusion exists in his brand of substitute metaphysics and religion particularly on the concept of no-soul, *pratityasamutpada*, *karma*, rebirth and *nirvana* which lack in coherence within his system. To state it briefly, Oldenberg remarks:

In Vedanta (monism) you have

substance without casualty, in Buddhism casualty without substance. (Both these views are equally unintelligible).

As against the Vedas, Budha and Mahaveer were made famous for their slogan of *Ahimsa Parmodharma*, notwithstanding several buts and ifs connected with this concept.

DR. AMBEDKAR ON BUDHISM

(9) The fuss about Lotus Sutra: Dr. Ambedkar was primarily interested in social aspects of Budha's teachings, not metaphysical. He was aware of the differences that developed among the various schools of Buddhism in the course of time. Unlike Jainism, Buddhism travelled long distances both in the countries of East and West Asia.

Because of the doctrine of "golden mean", it tried to adjust in the new environment during its expansion. Space and time, social and national culture had its effects on the thinking of Buddhists.

To the question on "what are the teachings of Budha?" Dr. Ambedkar himself replied: "This is a question on which no two followers of Budha or the students of Buddhism agree".

DALAI LAMA CRITICISES DR. AMBEDKAR

There are critics who say that the *Dhamma* preached by Ambedkar is not Buddhism but Ambedkarism. It is neither *Hinayana* nor *Mahayana* but is rather Ambedkarayana or Bhimiyana.

His book on Buddhism was considered not true to the spirit of Budha and was denounced by some Buddhist societies.

In the presence of the Editor of DV, Dalai Lama criticised Dr. Ambedkar and said: "Dr. Ambedkar's Buddhism is no Buddhism at all".

If Buddhism is justified as a religion for the teachings which have been attributed to Budha on every vital matter connected with him, then all the psychological factors which moulded his cognitive, emotive and conative parts have to be explained in a coherent manner. It is a general view that his spiritual career grew under the impact of four kinds of human suffering which culminated in a sudden midnight decision to renounce his wife and family to join the company of Jain ascetics in search of ultimate truth, that after six years he learnt that it was a wrong path, not useful for liberation. He then took a resolve to sit under a holy tree in contemplation. Having attained the highest mastery in all kinds of meditations he remembered his past lives, he beheld the whole world as in a spotless mirror, the omniscient. (*Buddhacharit*, 14.2ff).

NICHERIN DAISHONIN & LOTUS SUTRA

This path brought his true enlightenment and he began his sermons. There grew a vast literature which consisted of (1) his original sermons and (2) other works which were written by later writers in the name of the master. In the course of time, differences developed among his disciples about the teachings of the master.

After several centuries, Nicherin Daishonin (1222-1282), a Japanese Buddhist, tried to reverse the popular claims by presenting what he called the *Lotus Sutra* where alone, according to him, the Budha perfected his final form of *Dhamma*, taught about the efficacy of violence and martial arts that the *Khadgadhari Budha* practised military methods during the last eight years of his life that these last sermons were lost somewhere or kept as a secret from his disciples.

The *Dalit Voice* has accused the Brahmins for this guarded secret and added much more that is controversial and not acceptable to other writers on Budha. It is a vast subject and I mention my views here in brief:

BUDHA SUPPORTED WAR & VIOLENCE

I cannot appreciate the arguments given by some writers in defence of the *Lotus Sutra*. Academic honesty demands that if it were right, Budha himself should have rejected all the fruits of his previous enlightenment under the holy tree just as he rejected the Jain path, pursued by him for six years.

What prevented him from confessing that war and violence were essential factors in social reform and that the slogans like *Ahimsa Parmodharma* shall have no place in his religion.

Budha preached his first and last sermons in the open in India. It is a poor defence to argue that it remained totally unknown and undiscovered for 2,500 years in India because of a Brahminical mischief. I cannot understand that Brahmins destroyed it to strengthen the case against the doctrine of non-violence. Hindus had no particular interest in it.

GANDHI ADVOCATED VIOLENCE

A *Khadgadhari Budha* would have been more comfortable in the company of Hindu incarnations like Krishna with his *sudarshan chakra* and other spiritual master like the *Dhanushdhari Rama*, his *Gadadhari* disciple Hanuman, and sword-wielding prophets of Islam and the Sikh gurus, *pistoldhari* and *bombdhari* Dhingra and Bhagat Singh.

Even the *Charkhadhari* Gandhi

(who performed the funeral of his concept of non-violence when he commended Indian soldiers being airlifted to Kashmir).

And lastly even Obama who has justified in advance all military adventures in future by the USA as righteous war (*dharm yuddha*). Even communists would be glad to declare the author of *Lotus Sutra* as true predecessors of Karl Marx. Japanese would be thankful and grateful to their Nicherin for making Budha a perennial source of their inspiration who sent them to wars with their neighbours, the last being involvement in the World War-II through unprovoked bombing on Pearl Harbour. Even Hitler could proclaim that his *Mein Kempf* was written under the inspiration of the *dharmachakra* of Budha commanding him to go to war with the mostly holy symbol of the *Swastika*.

VIPASSANA DEBATE

If social philosophy of the kind popularised by DV contained the fruit of real illumination, then there was no need to be disturbed by sorrows of non-economic nature. Where was the need to run away from the wife in midnight or to sit under a supposedly holy tree in uninterrupted meditation?

Instead of founding a Sangh of Bhikkus, Budha should have founded the headquarters of the marxist party so that his disciples would have no need to run away from their wives in midnight or to sit for meditaion under sacred trees.

DV remained occupied for several years with a Debate on *Vipassana*, a Buddhist term for mediation. I have not undergone meditaion of any kind and as such cannot say anything about its merits or demerits. All great spiritual masters have recommended some form of

meditation. I think DV is not the right place to discuss this subject. The Editor complains that the readers did not take interest in the second debate on Budhism. There was poor response.

I think the abusive articles on Vipassana were quite unnecessary and never a central issue of criticism against Budhism.

FABRICATED SCRIPTURES

Spurious scriptures have been interpolated in many religions in the form of myths as in Hindu *puranas*, Jain and Budhist works so that after several centuries their credibility creates problems and sometimes it becomes very difficult to say about the validity or invalidity of any scripture.

For example, we have a variety of *Ramayan*s. *Purush Sukta* is dubbed as interpolation. It is a quite possible that some non-Budhist tried to fabricate some sermons in the name of Budha to create confusion in the teachings of Budha.

Budhists in general reject the fuss made through the *Lotus Sutra*.

POSITIVE APPROACH NEEDED

Irrelevant matters: Some "sorrespondents" have noted that many readers are not happy with DV involvement in matters pertaining to distant corners of the earth. They have been wondering why we are giving so much space to Palestine, Jews, Arabs, Iran, China and such other news. You take delight in condemning USA in headlines of Dv. For example:

Big news: Fall of USA begins.

DV saluts brave Bush beater.

The world's most hated dog

Lack of the sense of decorum has spoiled the image of DV.

Dalit Voice has been unnecessarily involved in non-substantial issues which have little to do with positive help to the Dalits. For example, the fuss about the word Hindu, "caste identity", Kautilya's *Arthashastra*, *Vatsyayan*'s *Kamasutra*, glorification of beef-eating, too much occupation with events in Wet Asia and inconclusive debates on Budhism and particularly *Vipassana*.

It is of no positive help to the Dalits to go on pouring abuses on Brahmins and Jews.

It is psychologically harmful to remain constantly occupied by mere hatred for any person or a class. It only divides and weakens our attention on vital matters. The only issue which deserved our whole-time attention was how to raise the quality of life of the Untouchables. Others are secondary matters:

BOTH DR. AMBEDKAR & VTR FAILED

(1) These Dalits have remained in the dark; they need light.

(2) They suffer from inferiority complex. They need restoration of self-confidence.

(3) They are illiterate; they need education.

(4) They are poor, jobless. they need work, not charity.

For this they need organisation of zealous, not jealous workers. The achievements of Budha and Mahaveer were due to the force which they created through their organisation, the *Sramana Sanghs*. Where their organisation remained weak, their systems collapsed.

Dr. Ambedkar was a great intellectual, so is VTR. Both failed because they could not create organisations with dedicated cadre.

There are repated front-page

confessions of *Dalit Voice* on "Great Betrayal by Educated Dalits".

The leadership has not been equal to the task. VTR is right when he says Dr. Ambedkar failed, the giant failed, but that is no reason to think that VTR is a pygmy and, therefore, he cannot succeed. (Aug.1, 2010 p.11).

VTR NOT A PYGMY

VTR is not a pigmy. He is a rare intellect and that is the one reason why I love him even though I have not agreed with

him for his negative approach.

Budha corrected himself after six years of delusions. VTR has also admitted quite often directly and in straight words about some of his delusions and strong reasons responsible for the failure of DV.

He deeply loves DV but he has committed himself so much on certain matters that it has become very difficult for him to change. It is a common human weakness that in the words of Nietzsche, "love is more afraid of change than of destruction". It was not a sudden

thought that he decided upon destruction of DV.

FUTURE OF DV

For a long time he has been in despair and frustrations and delayed the "sunsets". However, if there is change in his decision to continue DV, the future of DV, if it is to survive, shall be different from its past as well as the present.

My feeling is that the Editor needs a team of profound psychologists who can feel the pulses of not only critics but more than that his friends. ■

When OBCs are not interested why DV should bother about them ?

V. DHANAPAL, MADRAS

It is a happy news that the DV has got a 'breather'. The "Closing down DV" Debate can now come to an end, we have to think of ways to avoid this situation in the future. To this effect, I have identified, out of my one year immersion in DV and DSA books, some flaws in our strategy, which need to be corrected.

Our journal is named *Dalit Voice* and claims to 'educate' Dalits, while there is hardly any education to Dalits in DV. All other things are there in it: evils of Brahmins and Brahminists and their corruption, causes of OBCs and their caste power, causes of Muslims and their minority rights and talks of Bahujans. Even there are some farfetched things like Jewish conspiracy, "Jews of India", World War-III, etc. This is hardly any education to empower Dalits.

OBCs uninterested in DV: OBC will never be curious to see what is DV about. You yourself agree that there is hardly any OBC patronage. Same thing can be said about Muslims. Even some Muslims consider your expression of Dalits and Muslims as blood-brothers as racist. Some Dalit Muslims want to distance themselves from Dalits by calling themselves *Pasmanda*.

Divided Dalits: When there is so little for Dalits in DV, Dalits cannot be expected to patronize it. Still only Dalits are asked to foot the bill, none other, as the name is *Dalit Voice* not OBC or Muslim or Bahujan Voice. Whatever you write in the cause of OBC and Muslims are unread by the intended readers and mostly go

waste, all at the cost of Dalits. All these pages can be used for the Dalit cause. Further, Jews can be left alone. There is no justification at all to neither link Jews with the Dalit problem nor coin the expression of "Jews of India".

If Dalits are to regain their lost human rights and defend themselves from further oppression and suppression, it is in their **own hands**. They can do it in spite of all obstacles, if only they stand united. They can hope for only little help from others. Currently Dalits are divided so much. It would be better if you concentrate more on Dalit education, to bring about this unity, to empower them to overcome the obstacles.

Practical guidance: What Dalits need from DV is some practical guidance and coordination. In this aspect, I had sent you a poem, four issues back, about the wrong vocabulary of "higher" and "upper" when speaking about castes. You are yet to publish it. You could use the word Bania or landholder or Brahminist or BC or caste name itself or anything.

As for the project "educate", first of all, essential parts of Dr. Ambedkar's writings can be simplified, made into 2-3 page articles and published, to bring his works to all Dalits, quickly and briefly. I can contribute in this aspect, as I have read almost all his writings available in *Ambedkar.org* on Dalit issues and made some notes. Also we can ask all our DV family members to come up with other useful articles of 'education'.

Dalits may be also shown how their meagre spare

money, spare energy and spare time are wasted for unproductive and counterproductive purposes like religions, political rallies, fan clubs, banners, etc. thereby keeping them suppressed perpetually. They can be shown how, united among themselves, they can create new wealth individually and cooperatively, create all sorts of private and group enterprises, devise new and powerful mechanisms to dissuade and repel

aggressions, reclaim their full share of constitutional rights, etc. Gradually they can reach a position in the society where they can be proud of their progress and their historical tormentors would be ashamed of themselves. I wish DV embarks on this new path, right from the coming issue, along with Dalits, accompanying them all the way.

(danapalv@yahoo.com)

Dalits as leaders of Revolution can't abandon Bahujans

Brother Dhanapal is a recent entry into the DV family and totally new to this field. However, his suggestions are all well taken. None can doubt his sincerity.

The name of the journal may be Dalit Voice but it works for the liberation of the entire Bahujan Samaj: SC/ST/BCs (65%), Muslim/Christian/Sikhs (20%) plus even the Hindu women.

If you want to lift a heavy stone and throw it, you have to use all your five fingers. You can't do it with just one. Dalits alone cannot bring about revolution though they are the "lowest" and yet the angriest. Hence they alone can be leaders of the revolution. Our Father, Babasaheb has said it.

We are fully aware that Muslims, Sikhs, Christians are not seriously interested in DV. OBCs are fully hindulsed. Yet Dalits, as leaders of the revolution,

remember that, they have a duty. Hence in DV we use all our five fingers to lift this heavy Bahujan stone to hit the Brahminical oppressors.

Among Dr. Ambedkar's gurus, he had included two OBCs — Mahatma Phule and Shahu Maharaj. Can we ignore the contributions of Periyar EVR — ranked next only to Babasaheb?

DV is 30 years old and the path it has taken is time-tested. But certain improvements suggested will be welcomed with pleasure.

Reconciling the conflicting and chaotic forces that is Bahujan Samaj is not a joke. As we have no powerful media of our own and Brahminists have the monopoly, even our little work gets washed out. That is why we wanted the "media centre" in Delhi in which our Brothers took no interest —
EDITOR.

OBC leader's tributes to DV

P.S. SADAR, 71 - KOTWALNAGAR, NAGPUR - 440 022

I am glad the *Dalit Voice* continues to be published under great pain, although the debate on "Closing down" makes an eye-opener reading.

Much has been done by you in the past 30 years to awaken the SC/ST/OBC masses. The Mahars of Maharashtra, the Meenas of Rajasthan and the Chamars of UP are an enlightened and or well-organised lot.

Don't blame OBCs: They could have easily led the movement of emancipation of the masses of India, including the Dalits of the other provinces. Unfortunately this very thing, which was dreamt of by Dr. Babasaheb, did not take place.

To blame the OBC for not being awakened or active enough is not fair because OBC is not a homogenous group.

Even in Maharashtra, the Marathas of Shivaji called

the "Mawalas" are poorer than the Dalits. They are despised by the landed Marathas. Marathas are also called Kunbi in Vidarbha and Konkan but they are not loved by the old jamindari Marathas.

It is Dalits attacking OBCs: Your correspondent's observation (Oct.1, 2010 p.19) does not hold ground. The OBCs do attack the Dalit and the Muslims in the poorer states like Orissa, Bihar, Meghalaya etc. but in the advanced states of Maharashtra, Punjab and Kerala, it is now the turn of the Dalits to attack OBC. To that end the Brahmins are providing all the financial, media, moral, legal and judicial help to the Dalits. This aspect may be probed. I would present full evidence of the same.

Brother Nagesh Choudhary's snipe at Shivaji, the great, is more out of his present-day compulsions than truth.

(See "Editor's note" on p.14)

Concentrate on eliminating principal enemy of Bahujans

Brother Sadar's defence of the OBCs should once again prove how seriously divided the Bahujans are. The Brahminists have appointed a top Telugu Brahmin journalist — Sainath — gave him all the awards and rewards, boosted him so much in the media to over-awe us — so that he exclusively deals with the "OBCs attacks on Dalits" in Maharashtra and that the Bahujan pot is kept

boiling. And the Brahminists are free to exploit all of us. Thinking OBC leaders like Sadar must handle such mischievous Brahminists instead of adding to the already strained relations between two blood brothers. Bahujan unity is a must.

Always remember the principal enemy and concentrate on eliminating it instead of playing into the enemy hands — EDITOR. ■

"BEST AMBEDKARITE JOURNAL"

Exposing Hindu racism to whole world is DV's greatest achievement

SHYAM LAL GAUTAM, DELHI (ADDRESS WITHHELD)

The greatest achievement of the "Debate on *Closing Down DV*" is for the first time in 30 years we are able to get a frank and honest opinion on *Dalit Voice*.

I am very happy to note that barring one or two, the unanimous opinion is that *Dalit Voice* is the best Ambedkarite journal the country is able to get and that the credit is given to Editor V.T. Rajshekar for giving to the country such a journal. And also brining it out continuously for 30 long years, without missing a single issue.

The greatness of DV lies in the fact that it covers the problems of the entire Bahujan population of SC/ST/BCs (65%) and Muslim/Christian/Sikhs (20%) — total 85% — plus women of the upper castes. All other journals whether English or language casters to sectional interests.

Liberty to criticise Editor: The greatness of the Editor is clearly visible when the readers are given every liberty even to criticise the Editor himself — a generosity never seen in Indian journalism.

One common complaint is the "Editor kept aloof from the masses" (Jitendra Jadhav, DV Oct.16, 2010 p.14). Some others also made this charge.

VTR never wanted to be a leader: Bringing out a 28-page revolutionary journal every 15 days, covering the chaotic problems of the 85% diverse persecuted nationalities, is not a joke. It is a super-human task. Even the "Father of India", Dr. Ambedkar, could not bring out a national and international journal like DV. He experimented with only language (Marathi) journals

which closed one after the other. Dr. Ambedkar had money but VTR had none of it. He was mostly "begging, borrowing, stealing" money to put it in his own words.

VTR has made himself clear that he was only a thinker, scholar, writer and not a **leader** never aspiring for leadership. That is why he rejected the offer of MLC by Chief Minister Devaraj Urs from Karnataka, then Rajya Sabha position by Kanshi Ram.

If anybody wants to make a success of a revolutionary journal, he has to devote 100% of his time, energy and money to such an intellectual adventure. And at the same time not aligning himself with any political party. That is how VTR is loved by all sections of Dalit-Bahujans.

One who aspires to be a jack of all trade can be master of none. He will fail.

Most successful Bahujan Editor: VTR became India's "most successful Bahujan Editor looking after the diverse and conflicting interests of the entire Bahujan Samaj — that too bringing out the best possible journal.

The way the Muslim and Sikh readers of DV paying tributes to the Editor proves my point.

All these aspects of the Editor came to the light only because of the "*Closing down DV*" Debate.

Most striking achievement of DV: DV members are forgetting the most striking achievements of the editor in internationalising the problem of Hindu racism and

giving it world-wide publicity. When the Editor leads the Indian delegation to the "World conference on Blacks" in Senegal in December, the whole world will know the humbugs of Gandhi and his disciples. Over 30 years back his book, *Dalit — The Black Untouchables of India*, which President Obama had read as a young practising lawyer, introduced the Indian Apartheid to the whole of USA.

Why the critics of Editor are forgetting this greatest contribution of the Editor of exposing the well-kept secrets of the Gandhian humbug?

Editor must shift to Delhi: Muslims, Sikhs, Christians had the best well-wisher in DV. Almost all Christian and many Muslim institutions are DV family members. All this came to light only because of the DV Debate on "Closing down". But for this I too would not have broken my long silence. I thank the Editor for editing my broken English dictated to him on telephone.

DV members must go out of the way to financially support the Editor and get him to Delhi. ■

Closing down DV will be suicidal to Bahujans

SHYAMALENDU DIGAL

V.T. Rajshekar, Editor of *Dalit Voice* being published from Bangalore since last 30 years, is considered as a watch-dog to safeguard Dalits, Adivasis, OBCs and minoriteis. Many of our families are subscribes for this fortnightly journal.

As a member of this family I am in constant touch with the Editor whom I consider as a custodian of the depressed society who alone dares to speak about moist movement to Adivasi rights before the world.

Countries like Britain, Germany, Switzerland, Pakistan etc. listen to him with attention. He now ants to set up a "Media centre" in Delhi. But from almost every corner there is a feeling that "closing down DV" may be suicidal. DV is only journal that stands as our lighthouse. (sdigal@immt.res.in). ■

DR. AMBEDKAR & PHULE'S BOOKS AVAILABLE

Published by the Maharashtra Govt.
All the 21 volumes of Dr. Ambedkar —
total Rs. 2810.

Write to: Rajdeep Book Depot,
2-Sai Prasad Apts., Gondur Airport
Road, Vidya Nagari PO, Dhule dt.
MS - 424 005.

Contribution received till Oct.25, 2010

Name	Amount (in Rs.)
1. J.N. Sudhakar & friends, Taiwan	73,513
2. Dhanapal, Madras	10,000
3. Dr. Vijay Uke, Rajnandgaon	10,000
4. A. Balu, Hubli	50,000
5. B.S. Mangat, UK	10,000
6. Pitabas Sethi, Kalahandi	8,000
7. Mohan Rao , Nellore,	900
8. Dr. C. Bhasker, Nellore	900
9. S. Venkataramaiah, Mysore,	700
10. Dr. M. Idrees, Aligarh	700
11. Manmath Kumar Das, Bhadrak	10,000
12. Dr. P.L. Wasnik, Rajnandgaon	5,000
13. S.M. Mushrif, Pune	20,000
14. Bhimrao D. Kamble, Nagpur	1,00,000
15. Shree Ram, Lucknow	25,000
16. V.K. Hankare, Bombay	5,000
17. Sultan Qamaruddin, Hyderabad	1,000
18. Baldev Singh, Ludhiana	5,000
19. Ramakrishnan, Pondicherry	5,000
20. Dr. Rajanbabu, Kerala	5,000
21. T.L. Ningarajappa, Mysore	2,000
22. Dr.K.S. Ingole, Bombay	1,000
23. R. P. Singh, Jaipur	25,000
24. D.V. Kamble, Hubli	5,000
25. T. Babanna, Mandya	5,000
26. Dr. Gynankumar, Devanahalli	5,000
27. Nifin R. Kamble, Hubli	5,000
28. Sitaram B. Kamble, Hubli	5,000
29. Dr. V.V. Subrahmanyam, TN	10,000
30. Dammu Venkateswarlu, Nellore	1,000
31. Farooq Adul Gaffar Bawani, Rajkot	1,000
32. Dr. Anwar Ahmed, Kerala	5,000
33. E. Suresh Abrahamraj, Madras	4,000
34. Syed Noorul Huda, Patna	10,000
35. D.V. Meshram, Gadchiroli	5,000
36. Dr. J.V. Gavai, Nagpur	10,000
37. Dr. S.P. Jayanti, New Delhi	12,000
38. Lalit Kumar, New Delhi	2,000
Total:	4,58,713

VTR's thoughts 32 years ago: From "class struggle" to "caste struggle"

OUR CORRESPONDENT

Bangalore: The following is an interview of V.T. Rajshekar by a lady correspondent of the *Statesman*, Delhi, published in its issue of April 9, 1978 — when he was in the *Indian Express*, Bangalore, and three years before starting *Dalit Voice*.

The *Statesman* was then considered India's most prestigious English daily started by the British and later purchased by the Tatas. The daily died in Delhi and its Calcutta edition is dying.

The interview shows the gradual evolution of the thoughts of the Editor from "class struggle" to "caste struggle". The Editor was originally a marxist. The first edition of his book, *How Marx Failed in Hindu India*, was also published in 1978.

Jews & the "Jews of India": The upper castes led by the Brahmins were the first to capture the marxist parties at the instance of the Jews, who founded marxism to destroy Christianity. Lenin, a Jew, who led the Russian revolution, invited the Bengali Brahmin M.N. Roy to Moscow, trained him and taught him how the "Jews of India" could capture India by enslaving

the Bahujans. M.N. Roy followed the instructions of his blood brother Jew and the result is Bengal and Kerala permanently came under *Manuwadi* marxists.

Brahmins used to love VTR when he was with the marxists. Both the CPI and CPM leadership courted him. Short of joining the CPM, he was a regular visitor to the party office.

This is yet another proof that Jews and "Jews of India" are cousins and the world's two most famous conspirators.

"Class struggle kills" caste war: It is the "Jews of India" who managed to divide the Bahujan Samaj by clamping the caste system.

The Brahminical enemy feared that the angry, oppressed and also ferocious Bahujans (85%) would launch a "caste war" and crush the micro-minority puny creatures. That is why they thoughtfully popularised the "class struggle" theory to divert our attention from "caste war".

The *Statesman* interview was published under the following title:

"In the vanguard of caste war"

"We don't use the word *Harijan*, we say Untouchable. We don't believe in the Gandhian approach to the caste problem. His approach is based on compromise; we believe in seizing things. Some people believe that Gandhi was the saviour of the *Harijans*, we believe that he has done more damage than good — the Untouchable community, thanks to him, has been reduced to the status of beggars".

This is the Voice of the Dalit Movement in Karnataka as expressed to me by Mr V.T. Rajshekar Shetty who came armed with a letter from the Dalit Action Committee recommending him as a Dalit writer and a man intimately acquainted with the problems of the Untouchables. He is a thin, nondescript man with greying oily hair who, despite his revolutionary rhetoric, I could hardly imagine to be in the vanguard of an armed revolution. There had been no

change, he said categorically, in the position of the Untouchables. In cities they lived in slums and in the villages they lived in ghettos. 95% of them are still landless labourers who could barely make enough money to stay alive.

HINDUISM IS UNTOUCHABILITY

The Dalit Action Committee in Karnataka is trying to make Untouchables aware of the reality of their position, to educate them in the teachings of Dr. Ambedkar and to make them see that "bloody revolution" is the only way that their lot will improve, because the caste system was as entrenched in India as the Hindu religion. "As long as there is Hinduism there will be untouchability".

The Dalit philosophy, Mr. Shetty said, was a combination of Marx and Ambedkar; but although "we are close

to the CPI(M)", there was a fundamental disagreement, because the Marxists talked only of "class struggle" and not of "caste struggle". If there was ever to be a revolution in India, the caste factor was vital.

PERMANENT BEGGARS

Mr. Shetty rejected reservation of jobs and opportunities as a means of removing untouchability. "It is a way of making Untouchables permanent beggars". He also rejected Mr. Jagjivan Ram as an important national leader. "Everyone knows that Gandhi and Nehru discovered him to counteract Dr. Ambedkar".

Since we were in the mood to call a spade a spade, I asked him if he was an Untouchable. "No", he said hastily, "I come from a landed family, I am a *sudra*". Were *sudras* not untouchables? "No, no, those are *mahasudras*".

(*Statesman*, 9/4/1978). ■

Thus Spake Periyar

What is our present position? If you think over and search for a better position, you will in fact agree that we are very slow in eradicating casteism and its evils. We must muster strength and march on with a greater speed.

You must know the history of the Vaikom agitation. A very small incident led to the Vaikom agitation.

Com. Madhavan was an advocate. He was to appear before the honourable judge in a case on behalf of his client. The court was in the compound of the Maharaja's (emperor's) palace. At that time, arrangements were made to celebrate the birthday of Rajah (the king). The entire surrounding of the palace was thatched with palmyrah leaves beautifully. Brahmins started chanting mantras (hymns). As Com. Madhavan belonged to the Ezhava (Nadar) community, he was not permitted to enter or pass through the place and reach the court.

It was at this time that the Justice Party was carrying on propaganda in Tamil Nadu for the abolition of castes and untouchability. Inter-caste marriages were encouraged. Schools were thrown open to all. *Samabandhi Bojanam* (inter-dining) was popular. Such intensive social reform propaganda was carried on in Tamil Nadu by the Justice Party. When Gandhi came to know of what the Justice Party doing in Tamilnadu, he started including our schemes in his constructive programme.

In those days, the Justicites boldly exposed the Brahmins, who were afraid to move about without company. Non-Brahmin leaders like Dr. C. Natesan (Mudaliar), Dr. T.M. Nair and Sir P. Theagarayar educated the masses by their incessant and extensive propaganda and secured the powers in the state. Brahmins were envious of the Justice Party Government. They had no platform in those days. In those days, the Brahmins cunningly took shelter under the slogans "we are not power mongers. We boycott the elections". With such false slogans they hoodwinked the people and indulged in all sorts of intrigues. Realising the popularity of the Justice Party, Gandhiji concentrated on the problem of

Havoc played by Brahmins in Tamil country

untouchability as the only way to bring down the Justice Party rule in Tamil Nadu.

In those days I was very familiar to the leaders of the Justice Party. They had great respect for me because I held many posts. Mr. Rajagopalachari met me and induced me to become a follower of Gandhi. He said that Gandhi alone is capable of carrying out the much needed social reforms. I resigned the post as chairman of Erode Municipality and joined the Congress. Before my entering the Congress, no Tamilian had the honour of becoming the Secretary or president of the Tamil Nadu Congress. I was the first Tamilian to hold these posts in the history of Tamilnadu Congress.

Com. T.V. Kalyanasundaram (Thiru Vi.Ka) was a school master. Dr. P. Varadarajalu (Naidu) was the editor of *Prapancha Mitran*. Yet Brahmins did not trust him. Com. V.O. Chidambaram (Pillai) was at the mercy of Mr. Kasturi Ranga Iyengar after draining all his resources.

So, the Brahmins did not respect them. They could not ignore me like that, as I already held high posts and hailed from a very big business community. For anything and everything Mr. Rajagopalachari (Rajaji) believed me and reposed much confidence in me. I also believed him and reciprocated the confidence he held in me. We both worked together and I carried on an intensive propaganda with the result the Brahmins once again gained the platform. I was very bold in expressing the rationalist views. I openly spoke on god in all my meetings stating, "If the idol would get polluted by the touch of the people, such a god is not required and the idol has to be broken to pieces and used for constructing good roads. Otherwise it may be put near the river banks to be used for washing clothes". I was often induced to speak, severely by the Brahmins. As I was not for any post or power, the Brahmins remained silent.

What all I say now about god, religion and caste, I used to say even in those days. Rajagopalachari used to tell me that I have administered a very strong dose, after hearing my speeches. *(to be continued)*

Collected Works of Periyar, (pp.139 to 141) (4th edn. 2007), The Periyar Self-Respect Propaganda Institution, Periyar Thidal, 50-EVK Sampath Rd., Vepery, Madras - 600 007.

Mentally & physically handicapped India can never overtake China

Economist predicts bullock cart will defeat supersonic jet

V.T. RAJSHEKAR

The world famous *Economist* (Oct.2, 2010), which is all-out pro-Jew (capitalist), anti-China, anti-Muslim but extremely pro-Brahminic — very often cited in DV — had a cover story on “How India’s growth will outpace China’s”.

That even a scholarly journal (the 400-year-old English weekly from London) can go mad with jaundice when it comes to Jews and the “Jews of India”, this Editorial is yet another proof.

CREDIBILITY LOST

The *Economist* pays tributes to “India’s surprising economic miracles” but quickly bites dust, concluding “It is still far behind China”. It also applies tar on the face of the Indian rulers saying they are “infected with corruption” and finally spits on their very face saying “China has done a better job than India of curbing corruption”. The pro-Big Business *Economist* concludes saying “most foreign investors would probably pick China” to do business.

The *Economist* has lost its credibility in its mad love to defend its fellow Jews who are not even 3% of the India’s 1,300 million population.

BEWARE OF FALSE FRIENDS

The *Economist*, however, ends its editorial with a powerful kick on the Brahminic bulging belly.

Our question to the *Economist*, to those who have written the Editorial under pressure from the “Jews of India”, is this:

Can a physically and mentally

handicapped country, beat a super power like China?

The *Economist* has made a laughing stock of itself by succumbing to the pressure of its false friends.

DV’S LONE VOICE

The entire Western media controlled by the Jews is infested with their Brahminical cousins. As the “Jews of India” have emerged as the unquestioned political and business leaders in the past 65 years after “independence”, by pushing the over 85% of indigenous owners of India to the depth of hell, it is but natural the real owners of India have become invisible.

The Kalmadis, Lalit Modis and Ambanis have become the real face of India. Innocent foreigners can neither see us, hear us nor touch us. We have become a no-people, voiceless, breathless and penniless.

PREJUDICED EDITORIAL

Except the lone, feeble *Dalit Voice*, India has no other voice of the Bahujans to announce the Justice and Truth in the many warnings given by the “Father of India”, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar.

So what the *Economist* says will alone be believed — not the DV. They say in the “long run” Justice and Truth shall alone triumph. But except the 1,000 year-long Golden Period of Buddhist rule, this “long run” has not come. When will it come, we don’t know.

Coming back to the highly

prejudiced and misleading *Economist* leader, we want to give a common example which even a child can understand.

A country is just like any young man or woman. A country to grow must stand on high and pure values. But Brahminical India’s core values itself are how to cheat, how to defraud, how to manipulate, how to destroy. All these things are very easy. Destruction is the easiest and the quickest. But construction is difficult and time consuming.

WHEN JEWS OF INDIA JOINED HITLER

When the Jews of the entire world led by their own creature, Soviet Communist super power, aligned with UK, USA and other Western powers, to destroy the lone but mighty Christian country of Germany, the “Jews of India” were on the opposite side. This was because they were angry with the British rule and that is how they joined hands with Hitler’s Germany. The moment they scented defeat of Hitler they quickly changed sides, joined the British singing the song of *Jana Gana Mana Adhi Nayaka Jayahe*.

WIKIPEDIA ATTACK ON EDITOR

The treacherous role played by the “Jews of India” was very effectively exposed in a book by a famous Jewish historian, Leon Poliakov, in *The Aryan Myth*, (New American Library, 1974, photocopy available with DV).

The Jews tutored by the "Jews of India" have very bitterly attacked us "for falsifying history" in the Wikipedia story on us — revealed in the Oct. 16, 2010 DV Editorial. Leon Poliakov's book published by a famous British Jewish Trust reveals who is speaking the Truth — the Editor or the "Jews of India".

The Brahminists honestly joined Germany and Hitler thinking that Hitler would defeat England and Germany would win. In fact Germany had all the chances of winning but the Jews in US blackmailed the then US President to join the British and declare a war on Germany.

SINGING & DANCING WITH HITLER

The moment the Brahminists scented danger they quickly switched sides.

During our recent visits to London, and discussions with honest Jewish intellectuals we could make out their distrust of the Brahminists because they said they learnt about the Brahminical treachery from the London journal, *Jewish Chronicle*.

Those who were once singing and dancing with Hitler, fooled the whole lot of German scholars selling their "Aryan myth", quickly joined the Western camp, prostrated before the British and started cultivating the Jews who have produced any number of honest intellectuals.

"ECONOMIST" REPUTATION RUINED

But what is worrying us is that the reputed *Economist* being fooled and taken for a ride. Just for a couple of expensive, full page advts. in the *Economist*, the world famous weekly has sold its reputation to the untrustworthy "Jews of India".

The *Economist* has written about the "Commonfilth" created by the Karnataka Brahmin Kalmadi (DV

Edit Oct, 16, 2010). It also knows how China staged the flawless Olympic Games (2008) and the current Shanghai World Expo which the corrupt and crooked rulers of India can never, ever stage.

3rd RATE RACIST RACKETEER

The *Economist* fully knows that the Brahminists are top to bottom corrupt. It admits that India "will always be a second-rate power". No. We disagree. Brahminist India can only be a third-rate racist racketeer.

The *Economist* also admits that "China's economy is four times bigger" than India's. It quickly adds a "but" and says India's "growth would overtake China by 2013". We want to know how and when.

We are not able to understand how such an authoritative journal on economic issues could arrive at such an idiotic conclusion. This makes us suspect the bonafides of the *Economist*.

PHYSICALLY & MENTALLY HANDICAPPED

The entire wealth of India is owned by a less than 15% of the alien upper castes who do not love this country. The rest of the 85% indigenous people are pushed to the bottom — starving, illiterate, physically weak, sweat labour.

PRIVATE SECTOR CORRUPTION

A country is exactly like a human being. Imagine a young boy (or girl) whose head alone has been growing with the entire body dwarfed. How can such a person be called a normal human being? India is, therefore, an abnormal creature — physically and mentally handicapped. That is how in all its thinking and action the Brahminical India is abnormal or sub-normal.

The *Economist* prediction means

the Indian bullock cart will overtake the Chinese supersonic jet.

The only point on which the *Economist* builds its argument is "India's private sector boom". "Indian capitalism is driven by millions of entrepreneurs all furiously doing their own thing". Bunkum.

We differ. With minor exceptions like the Tatas, Godrej, both non-Hindu Parsees, the rest of the private sector industries are mostly built by upper caste family ventures which have made money through all sorts of corruption.

NO FOR "NANO CAR"

The Ambanis are the standing example for the private sector corruption. The *Economist* cites the \$2,000 Nano car of the Tatas as the great invention of the private sector. The fact, however, is Nano has no buyers. The *Economist* is misleading the world to please its Brahminical bluffers.

Indian leaders — of which every section from politicians to *pudaris* — are all frauds. The entire upper caste world of 15% rulers have built their empire on falsehood, by cheating the overwhelming majority natives — without implementing a single article in the Constitution of India. That is why every city — the very Brahminist fortress — in India is crumbling and collapsing. The very Govt. in India is not functioning. We have a PM who has never spoken the Truth. The whole thing is corrupt, intellectually dishonest.

MILLION MUTINEES

The so-called Indian democracy is a reflection of the "million mutinees", so beautifully portrayed by the Brahmin, V.S. Naipaul. India is not a democracy but a ruthless dictatorship of the Brahminical racists in which their own women are being suffocated to death.

Every section of the society is

collapsing. Kalmadi's Commonwealth Game is the latest proof.

WAR WITH CHINA

No country can come up by deceiving, gagging, starving and killing its own people.

Forget about surpassing China, we will not be surprised if the Indian rulers, in their mad frenzy to further squeeze the slaves of India, will by accident get into a bloody war with China and subject India to greater calamity than the 200-year-old British rule.

Already a third of India is in the grip of the so-called Maoist armed rebellion of the oppressed Dalits and Tribals. The rulers are tolerating it because its leadership is with their own *jatwalas*. On the other side is

the bigger threat of Kashmir on the North and nuclear Pakistan on the West. China has already circled the whole of India.

The Indian army itself is mired in corruption and its leadership is totally upper caste, totally cowardly and hated by the *jawns* who do the actual fighting.

IDLI-SAMBAR WALAS

With *Idli-sambarwala* vegetarian Brahminists, who know nothing but bluffing and bragging, will they be able to face two external enemies like the beef-eating Pakistani Muslims and China, already a super power — and many furious internal enemies like Dalits, Muslims, OBCs, Sikhs, Mongoloid North-Eastern tribals?

When a country is internally so weak

and also hostile, how can it face the powerful external enemies?

EURASIANS DON'T LOVE INDIA

Lately, the Jews have also come to know the true character of the untrustworthy "Jews of India".

Yes. The "Jews of India" are not at all bothered. What do they care if India is defeated and destroyed? After all they don't belong to India. They are Eurasians and foreigners. Hence they don't love India.

There is no point in blaming the alien "Jews of India". They have been oppressing us from centuries. This is because we have allowed them to oppress us. Will our own Bahujans wake up even at this late stage and know the Truth — and start getting angry? ■

Caste war alone can finish Brahminists

COM. AYYANKALI

The dirty Brahminical econo-comic toilet paper called the *Economic Times* has a front page story (Bombay edition), that Brahminical economists are worst type of third-rate cheats, frauds, racketeers, charlatans, pimps, fakes and liars par excellence, par extraordinary. They are intellectually corrupt master-criminals of the worst possible type. These fellows simply cook up all sorts of fake GDP growth figures to fool the Bahujan majority and continue with their genocidal economic policies for mass murder. Once in a while, a little tip of the iceberg of lies comes out by accident, fluke, oversight or carelessness in lying. Unless such intellectual criminals' faces are publicly blackened with coal tar, thrashed, stripped naked, paraded around in public procession perched naked on a donkey — live on satellite TV with necklace of dirty slippers around their necks and finally mob lynched and hanged in public — all this nonstop nonsense and bullshit will continue. Dalit Bahujans must enter their residences to ransack and thrash them. No amount of *ayurvedya*, naturopathic, *Unani* or Homeopathic reform will help matters.

Only the most severe and drastic allopathic surgery as well as radiation and chemotherapy by means of armed, bloody and violent caste war by Dalit Bahujans will be able to destroy this 5,000-year cancerous and toxic tumour of Brahminism.

The good news is that technology is moving in such a way that nuclear weapons will now be easily available to guerrilla groups. Also, even if the economic depression and collapse takes place, lot of nuclear weapons will be available. Dalit Bahujans must get hold of them and make the Budha smile over Brahminical fortress. That is the one and only way — by waging a **caste war**. Anyway, the entire subcontinent will slip into violent and uncontrollable **caste wars** automatically.

Brahmins themselves will force the **caste war** down the throats of unwilling Dalits who will have no other option but to retaliate in self defence. By 2020 or 2025 India is going to run out of water according to various studies — including a NASA study. Brahminists will not be able to live in peace for very long, blissfully enjoying eternal leisure and perpetual pleasure. Their *Kamasutra*-IPL days are numbered. ■

The suggestion is good — wonderful. Knowing it fully well, the Brahminists first hindulsed (enslaved) the OBCs even while denying them reservations and instigating them against Dalits. In recent years even "educated" Dalits are getting hindulsed. It is only the uneducated Dalits and Tribals that are taking to caste war. And such of them will be brutally killed dubbing them maoists. The current Debate in DV has brought out all this. Brother Ayyankali may have lofty desires. But can beggars become choosers? — EDITOR. ■

Who killed Dalit movement? Socio-religious, political leaders as villains

ANIL RANGARI, G-104, BHARTI APTS., GURU NANAK NAGAR, DURG - 491 001

Thanks to Editor for his nobility to correct himself and also apologise. It is true that "political power is the masterkey to social progress", said by Babasaheb Ambedkar in his speech delivered at the 5th conference of SCF on April 25, 1948 (*Janata*, May 1, 1948/BARS Vol.18, part-3, p.91).

The question raised by Editor is how did Babasaheb's words that "political power is masterkey to social progress" was changed into "political power is the masterkey that will open each and every lock" (DV Aug.1, 2010 p.4).

D.V. FAILURE

Advocate Bhagwan Das of Delhi had cleared the doubts in his translation of Babasaheb's speech. He wrote "political power is the key to all social progress and the Scheduled Castes can achieve their salvation if they captured this power by organising themselves into a third party and holding the balance of power between the rival political parties — Congress and Socialists. (*Thus Spake Ambedkar*, Vol.1, p.81, edited by Bhagwan Das).

He did not use the word **masterkey**. He used only "key" but he added the word "**all**" before social progress which is more important.

Babasaheb always struggled hard for organising the Untouchables through Bahiskrit Hitkarini Sabha, SSD, Independent Labour Party, SCF etc. and through his media (various journals). Babasaheb never confined himself to "Educate". He worked hard simultaneously to mobilize on Educate, Agitate, Organise. That is why he could raise a strong movement and got

success. But our Editor confined himself to "Educate" throughout his life. That is why DV could not generate and lead an all-India movement.

WHERE KANSHI RAM FAILED

Kanshi Ram and BSP worked hard to organise the Bahujan Samaj for votes only—to win elections but not for revolutionary actions. Due to the phobia of "masterkey" they rushed for "capturing political power" without understanding the real meaning of political party. No political party can remain in power forever. Without power, strong political party can gain many things. Babasaheb had proved this. He said:

Defeats are usual and normal. A political party does not exist merely for the sake of winning election. A political party exists for the sake of educating, agitating and organising the people. This work the SC Federation has done beyond doubts and beyond measure. (BARS, Vol.17, part-1, p.437).

MAYAWATI FAILURE

Mayawati became three times CM of UP and paid crores of rupees as income tax from the donations given to her by the Bahujan Samaj. She sold the media project along with its land in Noida. But she did not understand the real power of education behind the political power. Those who neglect the "knowledge centre" (media/education/knowledge) always perish. BSP may be strong in politics but it failed to establish the media centre (knowledge centre).

Her "Ambedkar Park" is not as important as "media centre". A strong

"media centre" could have helped her to capture the "masterkey".

EDUCATION LEADS TO POLITICAL POWER

Babasaheb said:

Without education and knowledge we cannot progress. We could not capture political power without Education and knowledge. Many failed in politics due to want of right education and knowledge. 99% engineers and collectors are upper castes. What education and knowledge is needed to capture higher and key posts is not acquired by us except upper castes. Our people must read vast. You must practice to read daily one book or half. (*Janata*, Jan.17, 1948/ BARS Vol.18, part-3, p.86-87 -Speech delivered in Bombay on Jan.14, 1948).

There are at least 9 centers of power in today's world: (1) knowledge, (2) media, (3) socio-cultural-religion, (4) politics, (5) bureaucracy, (6) judiciary, (7) economy, (8) land, (9) industries. Those who give importance only to political power, neglecting the other 8 centres of power, are not matured Ambedkarite.

Babasaheb had warned:

Dalits will not progress without acquiring key posts in administration. Today all the key posts are in the hands of upper castes. All the problems in the life will not be solved only by political progress. Politics is one of many other things which needs to progress in life. In fact Education is an important thing to progress in life. After 25 years experience in politics, I feel that Education is more important than any other things. For this

reason I have founded Siddharth College in Bombay and will start a branch of it at Aurangabad. (Speech on Jan.21, 1949 [*Dalit Bandhu*, Feb.10, 1949, *BARS* Vol.18 part-3, p.147].

CONVERSION

Babasaheb had always given greater importance to social movement than the "masterkey". He also stressed conversion first before economic progress. He had given the top priority to conversion. (Speech on May 31, 1936 at Bombay, *Thus Spake Ambedkar*, Vol.4, p.54, edited by Bhagwan Das).

STRESS ON SOCIAL MOVEMENT

As a student of history I have been profoundly impressed by the view that, however, important political forces may be in the regeneration of a community, social, economic and moral forces are for more vital and that political forces are only a means to the social, economic and moral regeneration of a people. I have from the very beginning laid greater stress on social movement than on political movement. A very large part of 25 years of my public life has been mainly devoted to the cause of social uplift of the Untouchables. I mention this only to correct the impression that I am only a politician. For it is a wrong impression. (*Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Charitra*, Vol.8 by Khairmode, p.394).

FIRST PHASE OF STRUGGLE

Babasaheb had given priority in the first phase of the struggle to: (1) Education (knowledge centre), (2) media, (3) socio-cultural-religious, (4) politics. After completing this first phase, the second phase: (5) bureaucracy, (6) judiciary, (7) economy, (8) land, and (9)

industries would automatically start working.

All the nine centres of power must be acquired under the control of the Bahujan Samaj. Only then the Dalit-Bahujans would be free from the slavery of Brahminism.

Babasaheb's slogan — Educate, Agitate and Organise was also the aim of Bahiskrit Hitkarini Sabha founded by him.

1. (9-3-1924 Damodar Hall, Bombay, *BARS*, Vol.18, part-1, p.11). Other occasions where Babasaheb used these words are:
2. July 18-20, 1942 AIDCC at Nagpur. *BARS* Vol.17, part.3, p.276
3. May 6, 1945 SCF in Bombay. *BARS* Vol.1, -357.
4. Aug.21, 1955, resolution to amend constitution of SCF Bombay. *BARS* Vol.17, part-1, p.437.

WRONG INTERPRETATIONS BY D.V. EDITOR

Dr. Ambedkar cited the famous Buddhist slogan — *Buddham, Dhammam, Sangham* — which he translated into English as "Educate, Agitate, Organise". (DV Aug.1, 2010 p.3). I do not agree with this interpretation. The meaning of both the slogans are different. Babasaheb refused to take refuge under the *Sangham Sarnam Gachhami* at his meeting with *bhikhus* in the great conversion ceremony at Nagpur (Oct.14, 1956). Why? Budha himself did not give importance to *Buddham*. He always gave importance only to *Dhammam* and said, that *Dhamma* itself is its own successor (*B&HD*, p.152).

The Editor by following his own interpretation confined himself to "Educate" only and never touched the other two: Agitate and Organise.

Babasaheb said:

A political party exists for sake of educating, agitating and organising the people. This work the SC Federation had done beyond doubt and beyond measure. (*BARS* Vol.17, part-1, p.437). (DV March 1, 2010, p.2).

When the SC Federation had done the work under "Educate, Agitate, Organise" simultaneously why the Editor interpreted it wrongly against Babasaheb's thoughts?

CORRUPTED LEADERS

The Editor said: "Only when the first two phases are completed, the people will automatically build the "Organisation" to launch the revolution" (DV Aug.1, 2010 p.3).

This interpretation is also wrong. Babasaheb first started an "organisation" and through it he launched the movement to "Educate, Agitate, Organise" the people for effective political action. But the Editor has no capacity to start and run any social or religious movement. Therefore he interpreted wrongly.

It is also true that all the leaders of Ambedakrite movement (organisation) became corrupted, co-opted or very weak and hence cheated the people.

Babasaheb wanted to get the people ready for action through the process of Educate, Agitate, Organise. During Babasaheb's time even illiterate people (Dalits) were so well organised that they were always ready to fight on the commands of Babasaheb. But the Editor does not want to "Organise" and unite the Bahujans due to his wrong interpretation. That is why he never thought of running a movement except DV.

The "masterkey" did not confuse our people but Editor's wrong interpretations did. There

must be some solutions but we have failed to find it out. The deceitful, corrupted and cowardly leaders have failed to stand united and run a strong movement.

Babasaheb said:

If they were dependant upon the Government they would be required to mobilize, to Agitate, Educate and Organise their masses for effective political action. For without such organization no government would care to attend to their needs and their requirements. (BARS, Vol.5, p.475).

"AGITATE" PUT BEFORE "EDUCATE"

Babasaheb himself added the word "mobilize" and changed the order by placing "Agitate" first before "Educate". Because anger against oppression, exploitation, humiliation, suffering will compel the people to "educate" themselves and also "organise" themselves under a right "organisation" and then take effective political action to solve their problems. Situation is worst but it will take time to generate dynamic leaders to run a strong movement.

GUJJAR MOVEMENT

The recent simple Gujjar *andolan* well organised in Rajasthan forced the govt. to solve their problems. The massacre of a Budhist family at Khairlanji (MS) forced the Budhists to explode throughout Maharashtra which shook the whole country. This explosion of anger needed no "Education". Dalits are the worst persecuted in India by the upper castes.

The force of Dalit-Bahujan population is tremendous but their anger is silenced by their own socio-religiuous political leaders and not by their enemies.

Not a single socio-religious political leaders is ready to die for agitation. They all are bloody cheats. In 54 years, only twice political action was taken by the Dalits.

IDIOTIC & COWARDLY LEADERS

(1) *Jail Bharo Andolan* throughout India under the leadership of Dadasaheb Gaikwad. Indira Gandhi was forced to Nationalise banks and LIC.

(2) All-India struggle to protest against review of the constitution by BJP Govt. The effect was that BJP Govt. failed to impose *Manusmriti* on the Bahujan.

Except the above two, there is not a single attack by Dalit-Bahujans on the govt. or judiciary to implement the constitution. Due to want of dynamic leaders Dalit-Bahujan movement died today. Our intellectuals and socio-religious political leaders are also idiots and cowards. This is the only reason for our failure.

CHRISTIANS CRITICISED

The Editor said:

Over 85% of Dalits are in villages or urban slums working as slaves. Except during election they are not even counted. (DV Aug.1, 2010, p.4).

Babasaheb explained this in the context of Indian Christians but it may apply to Dalits also. Babasaheb said:

The Indian Christians are living in sheltered waters. They are, at any rate, a large majority of them, are living in the laps of the missionaries. For their education, for their medical care, for religious ministrations and for most of their petty needs they do not look to government. They look to the missions. If they were dependent upon government they would be required to mobilise, to agitate,

educate and organise their masses for effective political action. For without such organization no government would care to attend to their needs and their requirements. They are not in the current and not being in the current they care not for public life, and therefore no recognized place in the public.

The second reason is that the Indian Christian is a disjointed — it is a better word than the word disunited — community. All that it has in common is a common source of inspiration. Barring this one thing, which they have in common, everything else tends to keep them apart. Indian Christians like all other Indians are divided by race, by language and by caste. Their religion has not been sufficiently strong unifying force as to make difference of language, race and caste as through they were mere distinctions. On the contrary their religion which is their only cement is infected with denominational differences. The result is that the Indian Christians are too disjointed to have a common aim, to have a common mind and to put a common endeavour. There is no community feeling. Indian Christians are not bound together by what is consciousness of kind which is the test of the existence of a community. (BARS, Vol.5, p.475-476).

EDITOR'S PREDICTIONS

The tremendous force of Dalit-Bahujans is in the darkness. Their fire of anger is hidden. But there is no dynamic leader to light the fire and burn the existing ruling class. When there is sunset, there must be sunrise also. The Editor's predictions have never gone wrong. However, the Editor should predict what is going wrong. ■

(See Editor's note on p.24) ■

None found fault with Three Commandments

Brother Anil Rangari must know that the **Three Commandments of Babasaheb**— namely "Educate, Agitate, Organise" in that order — was not our invention or interpretation but the one given by the late Shankarananda Shastri, a close disciple of the "Father of India" whose body he carried for cremation in a special plane from Delhi to Bombay.

In an article in the *Dalit Voice* as early as 1989, Brother Shastri, whose book, *My Memories & Experiences of Babasaheb Dr. B.R. Ambedkar*, (1989) we released in Bangalore, made this clarification. (Photocopy available).

We then published the whole thing as DV Editorial

on Feb.16, 1989. Babasaheb's **Three Commandments** was also published in DV three times, the latest on Feb.16, 2005 and later brought out as a booklet. Its third reprint came out in 2005. Thousands of copies have been sold and translated to many languages.

Till today nobody has found fault with the Brother Shastri's version who was also a Budhist leader.

Our booklet, *When Dalits Disobeyed the Final Words of the Father of India: Three Commandments of Dr. Ambedkar*, (2005, pp.20, Rs. 20) was also sold out. Only photocopies can be supplied — EDITOR ■

COMMUNICATION

Did OBCs turn against Brahminists?

PROF. SHRAWAN DEORE, 12, ANAN-A/2 ANAND NAGAR, B/H AKASHWANI, GANGAPUR RD., NASHIK - 422 013

There is a competition between all political parties, organizations and so-called social leaders in appealing to the people to remain calm over the verdict of Babri Masjid. But people from both sides are self-maintaining the law and order. In the light of this situation some questions arise:

- 1) In 1990-93, political parties and organizations, mostly dominated by Brahmins, were provoking violence against Muslims. Why?
- 2) Today these very parties and organizations are requesting people to stay calm. Why?

The facts are: 1) In 1990-93, there was the threat of Mandal to the Brahminists. They feared their bonded labour fighting force, OBCs, would escape from their

war-camp. If the OBCs had been awakened to join the anti-caste war-camp, they would destroy the caste system. So it was necessary for the Brahmin camp to divert the OBCs from Mandal to Ram. That's the reason behind triggering the riots on Ayodhya issue.

3) After 18 years of post-Mandal, most of the OBCs have been awakened and they came to know the Brahminical conspiracy. Today, if they orchestrated the riots, OBCs would not be ready to succumb to their evil bid. That is why they appealed for maintaining peace.

But we should not feel satisfied. We have to look forward to annihilate the caste system totally until the egalitarian society was established. ■

Brahminical divide & rule strategy

Brother Deore has only expressed his noble desire but how will he execute it? The Brahminists have captured all levers of power including the mass media. On one side they have **hinduised** (enslaved) the non-Hindu SC/ST/BCs and on another instigated the OBCs against Dalits and Muslims. Besides they have the full monopoly on the media. When this is the ground reality, how can we annihilate the caste system? We established the *Dalit Voice* in 1981 and during the past 30 years, it is mainly the Dalits who joined our family. We have hardly any OBCs as our

members. DV supports all OBC struggles but they never join us. Brahminists have instigated the Dalits against OBCs saying that the OBCs are the real oppressors of the Dalits. We don't think the OBCs have turned against Brahmins. The Bahujan Samaj is deeply divided. That is why *Dalit Voice* circulation is falling. In spite of going out of the way to support Muslims, they are not responding to the Dalit-Muslim unity call except some individuals. Brahminists are ruling India by dividing all of us and thereby causing the slow death of the country. We are deeply worried — EDITOR.

Marathas & problem of Kshatriyahood

DR. K. JAMANADAS, "SHALIMAR", MAIN ROAD, CHANDRAPUR - 442 402

Prof. Ashok Rana is a well-known OBC historian, speaker, writer, thinker, and editor of the *Rationalist Teachers Association Bulletin* from Amravati. Delivering the keynote address as the president of First Maratha History Conference at Pune in May 2010, he talked on the "Problem of Kshatriya-hood". Some excerpts:

Who is Maratha?: Mahatma Jotirao Phule called Chatrapati Shivaji as *Kulvadi Bhushan*, (glory of peasants) in his ballad on Shivaji. From his writings, it emerges that the word *Maratha* was used by all castes in Maharashtra to identify themselves.

The day-to-day needs, manners and customs, gods and goddesses, and funeral rites are the same among all the castes among the peasants, like blacksmiths, masons, fishermen, carpenters, kumbis, etc. Opposite is the case of Brahmins; none of their customs are same as non-Brahmins.

Therefore, Mahatma Phule concluded that, "One who is not a Brahmin is a Maratha".

Jadunath Sarkar said till the beginning of 20th century, Marharashtrian Brahmin, if addressed as Maratha, used to feel insulted and would retort that, "No, No, I am not Maratha; I am southern Brahmin". I take this subject for discussion because, in history of Maharashtra, the recognition of Kshatriya-hood of Marathas had been a great problem, which was accentuated during times of Shahu Maharaj.

Hiranya-garbha-kings: Mostly the Budhist kings were given status of Kshatriya by rite of *Hiranyagarbha*, where he has to pass through a golden vessel under Brahminic *mantras*. In North India, four Rajput *kulas* were created by *yajnya* on Mount Abu.

An arrogant verse is nowadays found under the image of Parshuram. It says, four Vedas on lips, a bow with arrows on back, this Brahmin-hood and Kshatriya-hood, is strong enough to curse and kill by arrow.

Jain defeated Brahmins: Such arrogance was expressed in the form of Parshuram time and again. Kartvirya Arjun was killed by Parshuram. Kartvirya's son King Subhaum killed Parshuram. Jain history records this event, proving that the Jain kings had defeated Brahminic system.

Jains, who had rejected *Chaturvarnya*, authority of Vedas and system of *yajnyas*, later accepted these Brahminic systems. They were, therefore, rewarded with Vaishya status though not Kshatriya-hood. Because the Budhists fought against all these three

systems, they were made *shudras*, and some of them were even degraded to be Untouchables.

One thing is certain from this that, the status of Kshatriya-hood depends on the whims and fancies of Brahmins. And it is restricted to an individual and does not automatically come to whole progeny. For this Brahmins declared that after Nanda Kings, there are no Kshatriyas; only shudras and Brahmins exist.

Those who accepted to remain as subservient to Brahmins, now live under the illusion of their Kshatriya-hood and hypocritically deceive themselves. The castes in India like Marathas, Rajputs, Yadavs, Jats, Reddys etc. lost their identity under the illusion of Kshatriya-hood. And they helped the Brahmins to maintain the hierarchy of castes by denigrating castes below them. This created many problems, which need to be understood to solve them.

Maratha race and gotras: After *Vedokta* episode during times of Shahu Maharaj in early 20th century, a lot of literature was created to prove Kshatriya status of Marathas and relationship with Aryan culture was claimed, forgetting that they were getting themselves enslaved under the Brahminic yoke. They claimed descent from Sun and Moon. If that is true, they become foreigners as Sun worship came from Iran. Some claim *Nag-Kula*, which came down from Harrajan culture.

Marathas also accept the concept of *gotra*. This word means cattle shed and also body organs. Aryans came with cows. The owners had different names like Vashishtha, Yajnyavalka, Shandilya, Kashyap etc., which became *gotra* names. If the second meaning is accepted, it means born from their organs. Those who are proud to declare themselves with *gotra* names, mean they are progeny of these ancient men.

Thus the Marathas become the progeny of Brahmins. These people forget that to have the gotra names means they are denying the existence of their own ancestors.

Satvahanas: They were the first dynasty in Maharashtra, during 230 BCE. They promoted Prakrit language denying Sanskrit and belonged to *Nag-Kula*. Some kings were Jains and some were Budhists. From them emerged today's Marathas, though some historians unsuccessfully tried, and even now try, to depict them as of Brahmin *kulas*.

Their history, thus, goes back to 2,000 years and has

been traced by historians through dynasties like Manavya, Haihay, Bhoj, Yadav, Nala, Kadamba, Mourya, Chalukya etc. All these names, today, have become the names of *kulas*. Many surnames from *Nag-Kula* are found among all the castes of Bahujans,

and hence whole Bahujan Samaj consists of Marathas. P.S.: Those interested in knowing more about *Vedokta* episode may see my book *Maratha Revolt against Brahmanism* and for *Hiranya-garbha*, see *Tirupati Balaji was Buddhist Shrine* ■

Shivaji suffered under Brahmins

NAGESH CHAUDHARY, EDITOR, *BAHUJAN SANGHARSH*, NAGPUR - 440 022

Shivaji was from his very childhood under the influence of Brahmins. His father had practically deserted him and his guardian was the Brahmin manager of his estate. The boy grew up with a strong bias for the orthodox religion of the *Puranas* and temples. It was given out that Shivaji used to get possessed by the goddess Bhavani and in that state obtain instructions from her regarding his conduct in difficult situations.

Brahmin propaganda stunt: This was probably one of the stories spread by priests to get Shivaji recognised as an inspired leader.

Shivaji was great in his own way. He had many brilliant qualities of head and heart which eminently fitted him to play the unique role of nation-builder. An intrepid warrior, a resourceful general, a brilliant organiser and administrator, the victor of many a battle and the creator of a kingdom, Shivaji was never able to rise above the Brahminical influence of his childhood and willingly surrendered himself and his kingdom to the yoke of the priests.

Stooge of Brahmins: The dedication or gift of the country to the Brahmin or the temple, the acceptance of Kshatriyahood which meant submission to the caste hierarchy, and the recognition of the right of the Brahmin to the *dewans* and advisers have been well-known stages of enslavement to Brahminism from the Pruanic days of Bali and Vamana down to the recent history of Travancore.

Shivaji's guru was a Brahmin, Ramdas. Shivaji made a gift of his kingdom to his guru and received it back as a trust to be managed of course for the preservation of *Sanatana Dharma*. In Travancore the country was dedicated to the temple and the Raja became a *Dasa* or agent of the deity. By this submission, Shivaji placed himself under a moral obligation to treat the country as Brahmaswam or Brahmin raj.

Peshwa dictatorship: In his administration, military as well as civil, Brahmins predominated. There was a council of eight ministers immediately under the Raja.

The Prime Minister, who held the highest authority both over civil and military administration was the Brahmin Peshwa. His office became hereditary and after Shivaji, the Peshwas became the virtual rulers. At the time of Shivaji's coronation all ministers except the

commander-in-chief were Brahmins.

Scattered throughout the western fringe, Shivaji had about 280 fronts with villages attached to each. The civil and revenue authority in each fort was in the hands of a Brahmin subedar and the military command in a Maratha havaldar. A Prabhu was in charge of the stores and repairs. This arrangement was more or less followed in the plains also.

In each unit of the general army under Maratha commanders, there were Brahmin and Prabhu colleagues as well as in the forts. Brahmins were also appointed to high command of large armies.

Caste rule enforced: In the Council of Ministers there was a Brahmin minister of religion called Panditrao who looked after all religious matters, enforced the rule of caste and custom and the civil and criminal laws and had control of the royal charities.

All the Brahmins received annual *dakshina* allowances according to their learning and it is said that no Brahmin had to go outside the kingdom for a maintenance. The permanent endowments alone made for this purpose yielded an annual income of Rs. 5 lakhs when the English took over the country.

After over 30 years of incessant warfare Shivaji had established an unquestionable right to be considered an independent sovereign. Such a recognition was also necessary for putting his government on a legal basis. He was anxious to have his coronation celebrated in a fitting manner according to the Hindu *shastras*.

Shivaji's coronation: This was another opportunity for Brahmins to reduce Shivaji to the position of an obedient Kshatriya ruler always under the Brahmin. "Learned" Brahmins were invited from all parts of the country and 11,000 of them, making a total of 50,000 with their wives and children, assembled at the capital, and Shivaji feasted them with sweets for four months besides giving them costly presents of gold and money.

The chief priest, Gaga Bhatta, alone is said to have received Rs.1 lakh. The whole ceremony involved an expenditure of not less than Rs. 50 lakhs, according to Sir Jadunath Sarkar, while some others put it down at the incredible figure of Rs. 7 crores. The Brahmins said that coronation according to the *shastras* could be

performed only by a Kshatriya ruler. A genealogy was therefore invented by the priests and it was made out that Shivaji was a Kshatriya, descended from the Rajput rulers of Udaipur. He was then invested with the sacred thread for which he had to pay large sums to the priests. The latter then demanded another Rs. 8,000 for the forgiveness of the slaughter of Brahmins during Shivaji's expeditions. In spite of these lavish gifts they refused to

initiate him into the *vedic mantra* and continued to speak of him as a *sudra* and no more or no less.

Humiliation: Shivaji keenly felt his humiliation at the hands of Brahmins to whose defence and prosperity he had devoted his life. Their insistence on treating him as a *sudra* drove him into the arms of Balaji Avji, the leader of the Kayasthas. (Jadunath Sarkar, *Shivaji & His Times*, p.431). [reproduced from *Bahujan Sangharsh*]

COMMUNICATION

Brahminism corrupted Sikhs, made them Hindu & slaves of Brahmins

SUBHASH CHAND MUSAFIR, NIC LTD., PALAMPUR, KANGRA DT. - 176 071

You had sent me some Punjabi literature written by Brother Pirtpal Singh of Canada, who was recently in Punjab.

One is an appeal to the Sikhs titled *Sikh Di Koi Jat Nahi, Jis Di Jaat Hai Oh Sikh Nahi* (the people of Sikh religion have no caste system and those who observe the caste are not Sikh). His appeal is exactly on the lines of the *Guru Granth*. This appeal is published on behalf of an organization called Dharam Gian Prachar Lehar, Ludhiana (Pb). We agree with its contents.

Another pamphlet, *Dhan Dhan Sri Guru Granth Sahib Ji — Sikh Di Koi Jat Nahi, Jis Di Jaat Hai Oh Sikh Nahi* condemns the *Manu Smriti* and Brahminism. We fully support Brother Pirtpal Singh.

The third one, *Ik Swal* is addressed to the Jat, Saini, Tarkhan etc. who had become Sikh. In this pamphlet also the Sikh religion is separated from Hinduism. It condemns the *hinduised* manners of reading the *Guru Granth* by the Sikh people.

The fourth one is addressed to all people of Punjab: *Punjab Bich Rahende Loko Jago Ate Pataa Karo, Bharat De Achhutan (SC) Untouchables — SC Di Halat*. It means: "Oh the native people of Punjab, know the conditions of the Untouchables out of Punjab". He criticises Untouchables for not being able to break out of the Hindu/Manu code which is going against the constitution. He warns that if the strength of Sikhs decreases in Punjab, they too will meet the fate of Untouchables.

The fifth pamphlet is addressed to Dalits of Punjab, *Acchutan (SC) Nu Chitavani*. It warns Dalits of Punjab by quoting Babasaheb's reference of 5th (Volume 5) the Punjabi translation of page 396-399.

But the sixth calendar is objectionable. It is titled *Bhagat Ravidas Ji Chamar Nahi Rahe*, meaning Bhagat Ravidas no more remained as Chamar. He quotes Ravidas' incomplete verses out of the 41 verses mentioned in the *Guru Granth*. He is not ready to accept

Guru Ravidas as a Guru and Satguru whereas in the *Guru Granth* (p.594) Nanak including all other Gurus equate Bhagat as their Satguru.

However, Brother Pirtpal Singh is a respected person but he must accept Saint Ravidas as guru or Satguru.

The Sikh people are fully under the Brahminical yoke and nobody can save them. Brahminical Sikh preachers are usually found on TV, propagating the Brahminical preaching and teaching. Every Sikh woman is asked to do *varta* like *karva chouth* and visit all temples like Vaishno Devi. The Sikh people are forced to become the illegal children of Brahmins and follow the *Manu Smriti*.

What is Hinduism: Supreme Court ruling

New Delhi: Nearly 15 years ago the Supreme Court had found it tough to define Hinduism and had said it was a way of life. Now, it has found it equally difficult to crystallise who could be termed as Hindu. Can a person with a Christian name be counted as a Hindu? He can be, said the SC adopting the Shakespearian logic, "what's there is in a name" but with two caveats — he must follow the practices of Hinduism professed in the area and be accepted by the community as a Hindu. (*Times of India*, Sept.10, 2010).

The same Supreme Court once said "Hinduism" is not a religion but a way of life. Rather, it is the other word for chaos. Like the seven blind men trying to figure out what is an elephant by touching it. Look, such a chaotic concoction, which has neither a mother nor father, is ruling India. And the wonder is 85% of the non-Hindu SC/ST/BCs (65%) plus Muslim/Christian/sikhs (20%) are trembling before this tyrant. Look, now comes another judgment of the same Supreme Court saying that even a Christian can be considered Hindu. Two SC judges ruled it in the case of a ADMK Dalit MLA(TN) whose election from a reserved constituency was set aside by the Madras HC.

DV catches the thief red-handed

BRAHMINISTS SUPPORTED HITLER

Wikipedia article (DV Nov.1, 2010, p.3) criticised DV for "supporting" Hitler but the Truth is the original supporters of Hitler were the Brahmins. They brought a pretty, young French woman to India (1932), made her "fall in love" with Brahminism, brainwashed her on the Brahminical love for Hitler, and made her write a book praising Hitler. It is this book that helped the Brahminists to become close to German Nazis, Hitler and then turn them against the British whom they hated. The Brahminists called themselves

"Hindu nationalists" and pure Aryans — though they were not — but Kazhars and Eurasians like the Jews. Savitri Devi was paraded in India as a great Hindu scholar. She described Hitler as the "both sun and lightning". She compared Hitler to Brahminic gods like Kalki, Brahma-Vishnu-

Maheshwar. Brahminists influenced the entire lot of thought leaders of Germany by using her charisma. They hailed Hitler's plan to make Germany a "pure-blooded German race" by eliminating the Jews. Savitri Devi profusely quotes from the Brahminical Vedas, *Bhagavad Gita* and called the Jews "the world's only real enemy". The Jews then hated Brahminists for pretending to be Aryans. Even as the Brahmin M.N. Roy went to Soviet Russia under the Jewish dictatorship and got himself trained in communism, Brahminists were

busy in Germany advising Hitler on how to eliminate Jews. Savitri Devi bitterly criticised Jewish-made marxism even as Brahmin M.N. Roy was selling the Jewish philosophy of Marxism in India. Such contradictions are possible only under the wonder that is Brahminism.

THE LIGHTNING & THE SUN

Savitri Devi

Hails Hitler as the greatest European of all times.

It was Savitri Devi who brought the Indian Brahminical terrorists close to Hitler and Germany and then they finally deceived the Germans.

Savitri Devi became vegetarian and a Hindu.

2000 pp. 200

National Vanguard Books, USA.

Photocopy available with DV - Rs. 150

Fax-Phone: 091-080-233 66 771
Website : www.dalitvoice.org
email : vtr@ndf.vsnl.net.in
dalitvoice@rediffmail.com

EDITOR: V.T. RAJSHEKAR

Published From:

109/7th Cross,
Palace Lower Orchards,
Bangalore - 560 003, INDIA.

DV Subscription rates

Annual Subscription : Rs.500

Life Membership (LM) : Rs. 5,000

Foreign Airmail (annual) : US \$100

Life Membeship (Foreign): US \$300

Payable in MO or DD in to *Dalit Voice*

When corresponding, please quote your label number