

Muslims Can Destroy Brahminism

**SUFI NAZIR AHMED
KASHMIRI**

Introduction by
V.T. RAJSHEKAR

2009

Rs. 10

DALIT SAHITYA AKADEMY

No. 109 - 7th Cross, Palace Lower Orchards
Bangalore - 560 003, INDIA

email: vtr@ndf.vsnl.net.in, dalitvoice@rediffmail.com

website: www.dalitvoice.org

INTRODUCTION

Brahminism & Muslims

A 16-page pamphlet in English by Sufi Nazir Ahmad Kashmiri, a noted Muslim scholar from Kashmir (reproduced here), was published way back in 1967. It was published by Jamia Rural Institute, New Delhi - 110 025 and also c/o No.45, Marcoir Labbai St., Madras - 600 001. A photocopy of the text was sent to us long, long back by a DV family member Brother Yoginder Sikand who found it in the library of Henry Martyn Institute, Hyderabad, where he was working then with a request for publication in DV.

The recent Kashmiri Muslim uprising in the Valley made us think of publishing it though every word said in the pamphlet is more relevant even today as Brahminism, gasping for breath, is becoming more and more violent subjecting the country's overwhelming Bahujan population to great hardship.

Enemy identified

In this English pamphlet titled **Revival of Brahminism & its Consequences**", Sufi Nazir Ahmad rightly identifies Brahminism as the principal enemy facing every section of the Indian society. That is his greatness which we did not find in any other Muslim religious leadership of this country.

Brahminism, he says, is not merely the enemy of Muslims but also the Dalit, Tribals and Backward Castes. Here lies the depth of thinking of the author because we

have not come across a single Muslim religious leader of India with such a courage and philosophical insight. There may be some like him today but they dare not identify the enemy oppressor. It is this failure of the Muslim religious leadership (to identify the enemy) that has caused all the confusion among the Muslim masses who suffer daily at the hands of Brahminical persecution. Not only they have been impoverished but denied education and employment opportunities. More than anything they are daily being portrayed in the press and TV as traitors and terrorists and their life and little property destroyed.

Hindu is not our enemy

The Muslim masses no doubt are angry but they don't know against whom they should be angry.

India's Muslim religious leadership identifies the enemy as the Hindu but in India even the non-Hindu (if not the anti-Hindu) Dalit, Tribals and Backward Castes are also called Hindu. Brahminical rulers say over 85% of the people in India are Hindu.

The Hindu is not the enemy of the Muslims. The real and the only enemy of the Muslim is Brahminism, which is not only the enemy of SC/ST/BCs but even the Brahmins. Dr. Babasheb Ambedkar, the Father of India, has also identified Brahminism as the one and the only enemy of India.

It is here that Sufi Nazir Ahmad comes to the rescue and clearly identifies Brahminism as the sole enemy of the Indian Muslims. Dalits (20%), Tribal (10%) and Backward Caste (35%) are not Hindu and were never

Hindu. Similarly the Christians and Sikhs.

What is Brahminism

Who then are the Brahminical people. They are the 15% upper castes: the Brahmins, Kshatriyas, Vaishyas and *shudras* (20%).

They only are the Hindu. But the Muslim religious leadership identifying Hindu as the oppressors of Muslim has done the greatest disservice and committed the greatest crime against their own innocent Muslim followers.

Another greatness of the Sufi saint is in reminding the Muslims their principal duty. That duty is the **Quran** calls upon every Muslim to fight for the liberation of **all** the oppressed — not merely the Muslim. Since the Dalits are the country's worst oppressed, the Muslims, being converts from the indigenous population, should have treated Dalits as their blood brothers and forged a Dalit-Muslim unity to fight the common oppressor — Brahminism.

Islam and Brahminism cannot co-exist.

Such a clear message has not come from any Indian Muslim religious leader except Moulana Moududi, founder of the Jamate-Islami.

Where is the *Umma*

Such a poverty of thinking in the Indian Muslim leadership has brought disaster after disaster upon a community that ruled this country for 800 years before the British came. Within 61 years of "independence" India's single largest community (20%) of Muslims has

been totally enslaved and looked at with suspicion as terrorists and traitors. It is this fear complex (cowardliness) that made the entire Muslims of India to become silent spectators when the Kashmiri Muslims were fighting and dying in Aug.2008. Where then is the Muslim **umma**? What a shame upon the Muslim brotherhood? We don't know where Sufi Ahmad lives now and how he is.

Our Bengali Muslim Brother Nasir Ahmed of Calcutta said the Sufi saint died a couple of years ago after they once met in Calcutta. We will be grateful if any person alerts us about the author on reading this.

Bangalore

Jan. 2009

V.T. Rajshekar

FOREWORD

How Muslims can help destroy Brahminism

The right course of action for the 21st century Brahminism

Human race all over the world is in fact a single community. Man is a moral being as opposed to animals. This peculiar nature of man is a truth universally accepted. There are, however animal urges also in man. The essence of human religion consists in developing this special moral nature and in keeping animal urges within the moral bounds. This is the basis of universal human brotherhood.

What the Brahmin has, however, done during the last four or 5,000 years to eliminate all possibilities of such a brotherhood and is doing even now, is briefly sketched in the following pages with the intention of getting this in human scene terminated by the hand of Brahmin himself and thereby enabling him to atone for the sins of thousands of years. May God grant success to this effort.

Solution to Kashmir Problem

Since these lines were originally written in Kashmir it may also be submitted that the problem as understood or as explained outside the state is not the same as exists inside the State. The fact is that while Brahmin intolerance has cleared Muslims from Jammu, the process is now in operation in Kashmir. If this problem is considered while overlooking this real truth it can

never be understood. All the Brahmins of the state seem to be determined to conceal this truth.

It may also be submitted that even a just political solution of the problem lies in one direction: that the two parts of the state are forthwith joined together and internal autonomy is given to it and militarily it is made a joint protectorate of India and Pakistan. This will also have a soothing effect on the minorities in India and Pakistan and will possibly bring their foreign policies and even defence nearer to each other.

Nov.11, 1967

Sufi Nazir Ahmad

Kashmir.

The soul-killing contradiction of the Indian society & the only way to resolve it

SUFI NAZIR AHMAD

These lines are being written just in Srinagar. One can most clearly discern here the working of the age-old contradictions of the Indian society. It seems that in this state the history of thousands of years of Brahminism is being repeated. Although this revivalist movement of Brahminism has been carried on throughout India for the last 20 years, it does not seem to be so clear there as in the State of Jammu and Kashmir.

The fact is that on the eve of independence, some nationalist Muslim leaders disregarding the feelings and sentiments of Kashmiri Muslims in general attempted to accede this state to India (I am not discussing here the question of permanent or temporary accession) which opened the floodgates of Muslim extermination. In the light of these developments a sensitive man can see with his naked eyes the working of Brahminism in the past thousands of years of Indian history.

A WORD OF JUSTICE FOR JINNAH

These Muslim leaders were probably under the illusion that the Hindu-Muslim conflict which a reformer politician like Gandhi and an old nationalist logician like Jinnah could not resolve could be resolved by them under the leadership of Jawaharlal Nehru. Throughout this Congress-League conflict the friendship of these leaders with Jawaharlal Nehru was probably due to this delusion and it continued to grow instead of diminishing and its

consequences became evident in 1948. The result of this friendship which is now manifest as a reality clearly shows that this friendship was suicidal to the Muslims.

Jinnah continued to remain an ardent nationalist through most of his life, but during all this period he felt the reality in all its aspects that the new kind of nationalism which was raising its head in India would leave no place for Muslims or Islam. Hence if Muslims as Muslims were not protected they will disappear from India in the next 50-100 years along with Islam. This was the realisation which prompted the nationalist Jinnah to become the sponsor of Pakistan movement.

BRAHMINS CAPTURE CONGRESS

Nationalism in India which was founded by men like Raja Ram Mohan Ray and which was fostered by Bengal and Maharashtra and completed in Northern India by the Arya Samaj, the Hindu Mahasabha, its study forces a man to the same conclusion which was drawn by Jinnah. Jinnah in his biography when he mentions the death of Pandit Motilal Nehru has also mentioned that thereafter the Congress organisation passed into the hands of those who were the supporters of Brahministic brand of nationalism.

GREATEST TYRANNY OF HISTORY

This nationalism was in fact a revival of Brahminism. It was this nationalism whose essential part was negation of Muslims and Islam.

Anyway, a political thinker could certainly arrive at this conclusion only.

The most palpable tyranny of this new nationalism is

that it started its work by making Aryan culture and religion as the basis of Indian renaissance, although history has unmistakably shown that when this Aryan clan came to India it deprived the old inhabitants of the country of their human rights permanently by making them untouchables. They were treated as more unclean than cats and dogs.

And then by making this most heinous fascism of history a religion they imposed it permanently on the people of this country.

But these Brahmin reformers, treating Islam and Muslims as anti-national and alien to the nation and the country, started to put an end to them and to make Brahminism or Aryan fascism as the corner stone of national reformation although wisdom and honesty demanded that the matter should have been reversed.

MUSLIMS APPLY WRONG REMEDY

The remedy which Muslim politicians devised for this hostility to Muslims was itself more than 50% against the purpose of Islam. Islam means an organisation of the entire human race on the belief of a universal creator of the world and a universal human brotherhood. Therefore, all the privileges of a Muslim are subservient to this belief and they ought to be so.

ACTION OF KASHMIR MUSLIM LEADERS

But the Muslim politicians of India entirely separating Muslim rights from the duties started a purely political movement in opposition to the representatives of Brahminism which culminated in the achievement of Pakistan and which even on political lines, instead of

solving Hindu-Muslim conflicts has made confusion worse confounded.

Anyway the Kashmiri Muslim leaders partly under the influence of the new religion of nationalism and partly under the delusion that the task which could not be accomplished by the combined efforts of Gandhi and Jinnah could be accomplished by them under the supervision of Nehru, took these steps which were also supported by Gandhi in the hope that probably Kashmir would materialise his dream of Hindu-Muslim unity. They, however, seem to be leading to the annihilation of Muslims from the state. The following facts explain it:

JAMMU CLEARED OF MUSLIMS

It so happened that immediately as the Indian army entered Kashmir, Brahmin brand of nationalism fully established itself there. Jammu came into their control on the very first onslaught and they began to clear it of Muslims without the least fear; and the Muslim population of Jammu is now reduced from 66% to 30%. Now the same operation is being carried on in Kashmir. Whatever flowering platitudes are used the reality is just this.

The slogan with which Balraj Madhok started the recent Pandit agitation leaves no room for doubt that the freedom with which a Madhok or a Prem Nath Dogra can operate in this state is not available even in India generally. For whatever oppression is done in India comes to the public in some form or other and its echo is also heard in the world outside.

BRAHMINICAL MEDIA MISCHIEF

But no one is allowed to know what happens in Jammu and Kashmir. The correspondents of the foreign papers who are here are full fledged supporters of the aforesaid Brahman nationalism and upholders of its cause.

So they cannot be such traitors to their country as to present any such fact to the world which might hamper the advance of this nationalism here. They can however represent the fact of a Hindu girl marrying a Muslim to the world as a matter of universal disorder. But they can never think of informing the world as to how the 66% of the Muslim population of Jammu was reduced to 30% in the last 19 years.

NON-MUSLIMS BROUGHT INTO JAMMU

They will not let the world know how many lakhs of non-Muslims were brought from outside. The irony is when Indian Army entered the state of Hyderabad, they drew all the Muslims, who had entered the state from the adjoining areas of India. But in Jammu and Kashmir they are doing the reverse. Yet they will not let the world know a word about what slogan Balraj Madhok used in inaugurating the Pandit agitation. And now when the next target is to be attacked under the leadership of Sankaracharya of Puri instead of Balraj Madhok they are not going to reveal. What preparation have been made to make it a success. As this will be a treason to "nationalism". Obviously the "nationalist" journalist will not lose any part of his faith or honesty by telling a myriad lies but to speak a single truth against this nationalism will mean a total loss of honesty and faith and so he is ever on guard against it.

UGLY INSTANCE OF NATIONALISM

The birth place of the writer of these lines is the town of Poonch in Jammu province. In 1948 along with other thousands of Muslims my whole family was driven at the point of sword towards the other part of the state. This family had built some residential premises in Kahoota, some 12 miles below Haj Pair, which were burnt to ashes in the disturbance of 1965. In the vicinity of Rajauri, which is in the Indian province of Jammu, I have still some relatives although I had renounced my home in 1933 and began to tour India.

SPINELESS MUSLIM LEADERS

It was my firm conviction that I have to carry out a great mission and had left my home under this pressure. However, in 1961, I established my contact again with this state in furtherance of the same mission and as I was an old inhabitant of Jammu I had gathered so much information as no minister nominated by the Indian Govt. could probably gather. At the same time god has given me a sympathetic heart as well. And so last year I tried to persuade an important Congressite Muslim leader of the state to put the real condition of the state before the whole country and try to change it. But the reply which this Muslim leader gave was such as would cause humanity to weep for centuries.

SHEIKH ABDULLAH'S NATIONALISM

This leader replied: "This will give a bad name to the country and it will be exploited by Pakistan".

Such is the training of nationalism which is tantamount to suicide and under which any Muslim can hold office

in the state. The respite which Sheikh Abdullah and Bakshi got was on this condition and Sadiq and Qasim hold their offices due to this nationalism. In the circumstances if any minor local newspaper commits anything for this bad name of the country and motherland, it is caught by the throat and strangled. It is suppressed on the charge of "fanning communalism". This is the nationalism which is in operation in Jammu and Kashmir and the world is kept utterly in the dark about it.

UNHAPPY END TO THE MUSLIM NATIONALIST

The Muslim leader who gave the aforesaid reply has been for the last two years first castigated by Jan Sangh workers as a "Pakistani" and later as the "worst Pakistani". And now intensive propaganda is afoot to oust his party and constitute Madhok Raj.

Sheikh Abdullah, Bakshi, Qasim and Sadiq are being made instruments in establishing by stages this pure and clear nationalism and now the establishment of real nationalism is being tried. Governor's rule will be nothing but Jan Sangh Raj. Since the entire Secretariat of the State and most of the officers lent from outside are embodiments of this nationalism.

PURI SANKARACHARYA

It is reported in newspapers (*Times of India*, Oct. 26, 1967) that after Madhok and Prem Nath Dogra the supreme supervisor of the Kashmir policy of Jana Sangh will be Sankaracharya of Puri. Under his leadership a committee has been constituted to safeguard the rights of non-Muslim minorities in Kashmir province.

UGLY INSTANCE OF NATIONALISM

The birth place of the writer of these lines is the town of Poonch in Jammu province. In 1948 along with other thousands of Muslims my whole family was driven at the point of sword towards the other part of the state. This family had built some residential premises in Kahoota, some 12 miles below Haj Pair, which were burnt to ashes in the disturbance of 1965. In the vicinity of Rajauri, which is in the Indian province of Jammu, I have still some relatives although I had renounced my home in 1933 and began to tour India.

SPINELESS MUSLIM LEADERS

It was my firm conviction that I have to carry out a great mission and had left my home under this pressure. However, in 1961, I established my contact again with this state in furtherance of the same mission and as I was an old inhabitant of Jammu I had gathered so much information as no minister nominated by the Indian Govt. could probably gather. At the same time god has given me a sympathetic heart as well. And so last year I tried to persuade an important Congressite Muslim leader of the state to put the real condition of the state before the whole country and try to change it. But the reply which this Muslim leader gave was such as would cause humanity to weep for centuries.

SHEIKH ABDULLAH'S NATIONALISM

This leader replied: "This will give a bad name to the country and it will be exploited by Pakistan".

Such is the training of nationalism which is tantamount to suicide and under which any Muslim can hold office

in the state. The respite which Sheikh Abdullah and Bakshi got was on this condition and Sadiq and Qasim hold their offices due to this nationalism. In the circumstances if any minor local newspaper commits anything for this bad name of the country and motherland, it is caught by the throat and strangled. It is suppressed on the charge of "fanning communalism". This is the nationalism which is in operation in Jammu and Kashmir and the world is kept utterly in the dark about it.

UNHAPPY END TO THE MUSLIM NATIONALIST

The Muslim leader who gave the aforesaid reply has been for the last two years first castigated by Jan Sangh workers as a "Pakistani" and later as the "worst Pakistani". And now intensive propaganda is afoot to oust his party and constitute Madhok Raj.

Sheikh Abdullah, Bakshi, Qasim and Sadiq are being made instruments in establishing by stages this pure and clear nationalism and now the establishment of real nationalism is being tried. Governor's rule will be nothing but Jan Sangh Raj. Since the entire Secretariat of the State and most of the officers lent from outside are embodiments of this nationalism.

PURI SANKARACHARYA

It is reported in newspapers (*Times of India*, Oct. 26, 1967) that after Madhok and Prem Nath Dogra the supreme supervisor of the Kashmir policy of Jana Sangh will be Sankaracharya of Puri. Under his leadership a committee has been constituted to safeguard the rights of non-Muslim minorities in Kashmir province.

In Jammu, the rights of minorities have been fully safeguarded. Its minority is raised from 34% to 70%. And now the same safeguard to minorities is intended in Kashmir. As to the "safeguard of minorities" in India itself, it is communalism in the language of all these swamis and Brahmin deities and it is the basic duty of the national govt. to crush it, which the Congress Govt. has been admirably performing. This Govt. during the last 20 years did not for once see the necessity of instituting an independent judicial enquiry into the Hindu-Muslim riots. What more could it do? As to the establishment of pure nationalism it is for Jan Sangh and the RSS to accomplish it for which they have fullest freedom. This has been the working of pure nationalism in free India during the last 20 years.

BRAHMINISM DIVIDES PEOPLE

May god grant to every true human soul the capacity to comprehend it fully. And may god grant *sadhus* like Sankaracharya of Puri the sense to distinguish the position of a true *sadhu* and the life work of a executioner at the gallow. In fact such a basic contradiction is working in the Muslim-Hindu outlook that the only way of solving it is to end one of them.

Brahminism divides human race into millions of high and low castes involving society into eternal contradictions and demolishing all foundations of human equality in rights and duties.

But to the Brahmin it gives such a high place as is almost divine and from where he downgrades the rest of the human race in stages, keeping them subservient to his own interest. This process has been continued by him

in history for the last about 5,000 years sometimes openly and sometimes unseen like an underground channel.

As against this, Islam is a system of universal human brotherhood on the basis of faith in one creator of the world in which there is no room for any discrimination of race or colour or clan which may in anyway influence human rights and duties. This statement about Brahminism and Islam is an unavoidable historical truth and it is on this account that Jan Sangh leaders declaring Islam to be extra-national and extra-territorial are making repeated declarations of their determination to destroy it.

APPEAL TO DALITS

I, therefore, appeal to every Brahmin in particular those who even in this disturbed state can think rightly in the moral light of human conscience and every Dravidian, Adivasi and Untouchable leader to awaken fully his conscience and to ponder whether the two facts stated above are absolute truths. May be the same sun rises again in India which will not only put an end for ever to the age of murder and plunder but will also change the immoral night of the darkness of materialistic oppression and the fraud of secular democracy into light as well.

FASCIST BRAHMINISM CALLED RELIGION

When Brahminism began its free play in India it classed the old inhabitants of India as unclean and degraded them below the level of animals in its own interest. Thereafter this fascism was elevated into a religion and the people were made to agree to it. It was in the course

of this process that a system of high and low caste was devised which buried for ever all possibilities of human quality and its rights and duties. It was now a religion whose violation was not only violence of the law of the land but also made the transgressor fit for hell.

BUDHISM DESTROYED

Propagation of Buddhism somewhat loosened the grip of Brahminism to some extent but then there was the movement for the revival of Brahminism which did not rest till Buddhism was driven out of the country leaving in its wake a reign of social and political anarchy in the land.

For a vast country like India and for the entire world Brahmin system of millions of castes and millions of deities can be a cause of spiritual moral, social and political anarchy but not in any way a basis for unity. A system so fatal to democratic interest can for a while be imposed by physical force but it cannot last for ever and is bound to end in anarchy.

MUSLIM RULERS CRITICISED

This was the historical moment when Islam came to India. But it entered the country in two ways. The first was the Muslim saints, merchants and *ulamas* came here and this was the right way. Their aim particularly of saints was to propagate Islam. The other was the entry into India of Muslim conquerors. This was a doubtful manner of coming for the aim and purpose of these conquerors was in principle similar to those of Aryan conquerors. They came here to establish their empire.

Yet there is one thing which distinguishes them from

Aryan conquerors and it is that there was no sanction in their religion that like Aryan conquerors they could degrade the old inhabitants of the country and keep them out of the pale of their religious tradition becoming themselves masters and covering themselves with sanctity. It is however a fact that the object of their coming here was not to spread and propagate Islam.

TYRANNY OF CASTE SYSTEM

In fact the true Islamic preachers were regarded their rivals. Nevertheless they were in open conflict with the higher castes of Brahminic society, and it continued. The social system for which these higher castes were fighting has already been elaborated. They were not fighting for the rights of the masses but for their own supremacy.

The battles of Muslim conquerors had their two-fold effect on Islamic history. In the first place the motives of the Muslim saints, *ulamas* and merchants who could preach Islam with sincerity and selflessness began to be looked upon with suspicion by the common people. If it were not so there are reasons to believe that Islam would have spread here in the same way as it did in countries like Indonesia, Malaysia etc.

BRITISH PERIOD

The majority of this country would have accepted the divine unity and the system of Islamic brotherhood as a matter of necessity as this was the most suitable way to liberate the common man of the country from the tyrannies of caste system.

The other effect was that due to continuous wars of

Muslim conquerors the representatives of Brahminism were able to use the religion of untouchability against Muslims also. But this working of the system of untouchability throughout the Muslim regime was just an undercurrent and Muslim conquerors never treated it as anything but the superstition of non-believers. Although in their essence they were not just superstitions but the undercurrent of the soul of Brahmanic social system.

During the British period the system of untouchability against the Muslims and the supremacy of Brahmins began to run side by side and nearly all the high class Hindus who opposed Muslims and called Muslims as tyrants and oppressors and aliens like Rana Pratap and Shivaji were regarded as representing the soul of Indian patriotism and heroism. In this hysteria of prejudice it was totally forgotten that this class of super human Brahmins had also come from outside and had perpetrated unprecedented tyranny upon human nobility and equality declaring human beings particularly the old inhabitants of the country to be unclean and untouchable and making themselves super human.

THE AGE OF FREEDOM

To regard this system of belief and action as the real ideology of the country and to consider the upholders of unity of god and human brotherhood as enemies of the country was nothing short of uprooting faith, honesty, justice and equality.

Now in the age of freedom the process continued by Brahminism in so far as Muslims are concerned is the same as adopted against local inhabitants on is coming

here. During the last 20 years the way in which Muslims have been killed and plundered without a single independent judicial inquiry being instituted into it, has now become a national convention which has been carried for thousands of years against the honour and respectability of the ancient inhabitants of the country.

DALITS SUFFERING

If their plans against the Muslims are successful, the rights which they have temporarily given to the Dravidians and Untouchables under the pressure of international democratic forces will also be taken away and they will have to remain content with their old status, as this has been the religion of this country for about 5,000 years.

The injustice done in the name of religion is more lasting than political injustice. Is there any force in the superficial slogans of secularism etc. which can change the impact of this religious heritage of genocide?

Nehru was the greatest supporter of secularism and was also considered the strongest opponent of the caste system. But what was the result? Just this that his family today rules the entire country with the possibility that sometime later it may even be given the status of constitutional monarchy. Even the national convention of killing and plundering of Muslims without any inquiry was established in his own moderate regime and the question of Kashmir is also his family question which has been treated as being synonymous with the preservation of the freedom of India, probably even more important.

India would have probably become the centre of the entire free Asia and Africa, but it was just this family question of Kashmir in which Nehru involved sometimes China and sometimes Russia.

DOUBLE THINK ON KASHMIR & HYDERABAD

Police action against the Muslim State of Hyderabad was launched on the ground that a minority in fact cannot be given the right to rule over an absolute majority. And then it was enforced with such perfection that it constitutes its own example. But when Kashmir was brought under control this rule of justice as altered to mean that the Muslim majority cannot in anyway have the right to remain in majority forever and constitute a danger for ever to the supremacy of Brahmins.

Under this rule Jammu has already been cleared of this danger and now the process is being carried on in the Kashmir province. Thousands of devices are being tried to see that the small majority which Muslims still command there should never enable them to get their rights accordingly.

PANDIT AGITATION

Only the last summer Sadiq announced that he was going to constitute a commission for the purpose of giving the right of participation in the Govt. to the various communities in proportion to their numerical strength. But a few days after this statement a great storm was raised which will be remembered in history as the Pandit agitation.

Now Sadiq realised his position and under some secret compromise changed this commission into a regional one

from which it seems to be understood that the question of communal representation will never come before it so that Muslims will be made to remain content with their age-old position.

MUSLIMS AS NEW CLASS OF UNTOUCHABLES

This will be a new national convention and Muslims will become a new class of untouchables. The result will be that while the province of Jammu will be ruled by Jan Sangh, Kashmir province will become the preserve of Kashmiri Pandits. It may be anticipated as a matter of fact that under this system of justice Sadiq and Qasim will remain on their *gaddi* for another five years. A way may probably be found in this period to clear Kashmir also from the Untouchables. May the disciples of Gandhi just know what is the nature of work undertaken in the name of Gandhi in Kashmir?

NON-BRAHMINS WARNED

This is the revival of Brahmanism after a thousand years and its operation, although it is very difficult for the Brahminical social system to succeed in this age of universal democracy.

But there is a clear possibility of a bloody future for Muslims in particular and non-Brahmin communities in general. Specially in view of the fact that the rights which all Muslim organisations of India are trying to secure indicate that they are the successors of Shahabuddin Ghorī, Zahiruddin Babur, Nadir Shah Durrani etc. without being the least trace that they have any relations with Muinuddin of Ajmer, Nizamuddin of Delhi and Sheikh Ahmad of Sirhind.

WHAT IS CENTRAL DUTY OF A MUSLIM

No doubt profitable trade of preceptors and disciples are being carried on in their holy names, but there is not the least sign in these preceptors and disciples of any desire to conveying the message of unity of god and human brotherhood to every home. It is this alone that the secret of their humiliation and ruin lies.

Popularly all these people call themselves Muslims and some of them are devoting all their efforts to become the leaders of Muslims but they do not believe in practice that all the rights of Muslims emanate from the performance of their duties.

CONTRADICTION BETWEEN ISLAM & BRAHMINISM

And the great and most central duty of a Muslim is to liberate humanity from casteism, untouchability and racial or geographical discriminations and to put them under the banner of the universal brotherhood of Islam.

The ideal of a Muslim, according to the *Quran* is:

This, your community, is one community and I am your creator. So fear me.

The above mentioned fact is the contradiction which exists between Islam and Brahminism and the existence of one implies the negation of the other.

Brahmanism is a system of high and low castes which exalts Brahmin to the proximity of god and grants him a superhuman status, degrading the rest of the humanity as to the level of his servants, while Islam regards all such concepts as atheistic and implies a ceaseless effort to deliver humanity from this course and bring about universal, human brotherhood.

According to Islam all true religions have tried for this human unity. Look to the *Quran*:

Human race was one community (then differences arose among them) then god sent to them prophets who were harbingers and warners of fear of god and with them came the code of injunctions that it may resolve the differences.

WHY MUSLIM IS HATED ?

Thus to eliminate all discriminations of caste and race and colour which make human rights and duties subservient to caste and country is the basic teaching of religion.

The entire basis of the religious struggle is to remove this discrimination which has prevailed in the world in the form of caste, colour or community. But Brahminism stands for creation and fostering of such differences.

It is only for this reason that Islam and Muslims are castigated as extra-territorial and plans are made to exterminate them which is a matter for serious thought.

THOUGHT FOR EVERY SENSITIVE HUMAN

As a result of it, it is a matter for thought for every morally sensitive human conscience:

- (1) To revive Brahminism and make it a corner stone of India's social edifice is to revive justice and equality or to spread oppression and tyranny?
- (2) Is it possible to establish human peace and prosperity by making moral and spiritual values subservient to discrimination of caste and untouchability and race and country or this facility can be secured by making these distinctions subservient in every way and in all aspects to moral and spiritual values?
- (3) Even for the limited object of unity of the country and the nation, is it necessary to eliminate in every way

the Islamic system of unity of god and human brotherhood and to revive all aspects of Brahminism (the same age-old undemocratic system of caste distinctions) or even this limited object can be secured by throwing away all these pre-historic superstitions and humbugs and to appropriate in its place the belief in a universal god and the system of universal brotherhood?

It is now for every Dravidian, Adivasi and Untouchable leader and also for every Brahmin leader to think with full moral courage. After all how long this drama of human killing and plunder will be allowed to continue and that too in the sacred name of religion, which in fact is the establishment of human brotherhood on the foundations of moral and spiritual duties.

There is no doubt that today a peaceful bloc can be organised under this ideal at least from Indonesia to Morocco, Algeria, Turkey and Iran and moral force can be created which may generate a wholesome world wide human reaction against Marxist tyranny and Western imperialism and immoral diplomacy. This is not a very difficult thing to accomplish and this one act will condone the sins of thousands of yours.

I also appeal all Muslim organisations to cooperate fully in conveying this request before all sensible Indians.

[A reprint of *Dalit Voice*, October 16, 2008]

DALIT SAHITYA AKADEMY BOOKS ON MUSLIM PROBLEM

S.K. Biswas: *Dalits & Muslims as blood brothers*, DSA-2008

V.T. Rajshekar: *What is Wrong with Muslims ?* DSA-2008

V.T. Rajshekar: *How America Got Defeated in "War on Terror"*, DSA-2007

V.T. Rajshekar: *Hindu Serpent Versus Muslim Mongoose*, DSA-2007

V.T. Rajshekar: *Hindu Mind vs. Muslim Mind*, DSA-2007

V.T. Rajshekar: *Brahminism in India & Zionism in West*, DSA-2007

Rajendra: *Muslim Failure to See Through Brahminical Tricks — A Dalit View Point*, DSA-2002

Dr. (Mrs.) K. K. Sidhu: *How Vaidiks Turned Sikhs into Muslim-Haters?*, DSA-2002

V.T. Rajshekar: *Genocide of Muslims: How to Avert Second Gujarat?*, DSA-2002

Shyam Sundar: *Zionist Plot to Dominate the World*, DSA-2002

Scientific Research House, Kuwait: *Zionist Arthashastra — The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion*, (Reprint DSA-2002).

V.T. Rajshekar: *India's Muslim Problem*, DSA-1998

Prof. Rahmatullah & Others: *Curse of Allah — Articles on the Revolutionary Spirit of Islam*, DSA-1997

Dr. Ram Nath: *Dalit-Muslim Unity, Why & How?*, DSA-1992.

V.T. Rajshekar: *Bhoodevaton Ki Batchit* (Urdu) DSA-1992.

V.T. Rajshekar: *Muslims to Divide Muslims — Hindu Nzi's Latest Trick*, DSA-1987.

V.T. Rajshekar: *Muslims & the Liberation of the Oppressed*, DSA-1986.